



Simulation of Weakly Self-Similar Stationary Increment $\text{Sub}_\varphi(\Omega)$ -Processes: A Series Expansion Approach

YURIY KOZACHENKO

yvk@univ.kiev.ua

Mechanics and Mathematics Faculty, Department of Probability Theory and Math. Statistics, Taras Shevchenko Kyiv National University, Volodymyrska 64, Kyiv, Ukraine

TOMMI SOTTINEN

tommi.sottinen@helsinki.fi

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Helsinki, P.O. Box 68 (Gustaf Hällströmin katu 2b), 00014 Helsinki, Finland

OLGA VASYLYK

vasylyk@univ.kiev.ua

Mechanics and Mathematics Faculty, Department of Probability Theory and Math. Statistics, Taras Shevchenko Kyiv National University, Volodymyrska 64, Kyiv, Ukraine

Received November 10, 2004; Revised October 5, 2005; Accepted July 6, 2005

Abstract. We consider simulation of $\text{Sub}_\varphi(\Omega)$ -processes that are weakly selfsimilar with stationary increments in the sense that they have the covariance function

$$R(t, s) = \frac{1}{2} \left(t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H} \right)$$

or some $H \in (0, 1)$. This means that the second order structure of the processes is that of the fractional Brownian motion. Also, if $H > \frac{1}{2}$ then the process is long-range dependent.

The simulation is based on a series expansion of the fractional Brownian motion due to Dzhaparidze and van Zanten. We prove an estimate of the accuracy of the simulation in the space $C([0, 1])$ of continuous functions equipped with the usual sup-norm. The result holds also for the fractional Brownian motion which may be considered as a special case of a $\text{Sub}_{\chi^2/2}(\Omega)$ -process.

Keywords: fractional Brownian motion, φ -sub-Gaussian processes, long-range dependence, self-similarity, series expansions, simulation

AMS 2000 Subject Classification: 60G18, 60G15, 68U20, 33C10

1. Introduction

We consider simulation of centred second order processes defined on the interval $[0, 1]$ whose covariance function is

$$R(t, s) = \frac{1}{2} \left(t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H} \right),$$

and belong to the space $\text{Sub}_\varphi(\Omega)$. This space is defined later in Section 2. The parameter H takes values in the interval $(0, 1)$ the other cases being either uninteresting or impossible.

The motivation to study processes with the second order structure given by R comes from the notions of statistical self-similarity and long-range dependence. A square integrable process with stationary increments is *long-range dependent* if its autocorrelation function is not summable. A process Z is *self-similar* with index H if it satisfies the scaling property

$$(Z_t)_{t \geq 0} \stackrel{d}{=} (a^{-H} Z_{at})_{t \geq 0}$$

for all $a > 0$. Here d means equality in distributions. The self-similarity parameter $H \in (0, 1)$, or *Hurst index*, has also the following role. If $H \neq \frac{1}{2}$ then Z is a process with dependent increments. There are $\frac{1}{2}$ -self-similar processes with independent increments, but these are not square integrable processes. If $H > \frac{1}{2}$ then the increments of the process Z are long-range dependent. The case $H < \frac{1}{2}$ corresponds to short-range dependence. These properties, self-similarity and long-range dependence, have been shown to be characteristic in e.g., teletraffic and financial time series. See (Beran, 1994; Doukhan et al., 2003; Embrechts and Maejima, 2002; Samorodnitsky and Taqqu, 1994) for references to these studies and for self-similarity and long-range dependence in general.

Assume now that a process Z is H -self-similar, has stationary increments, and is centred and square integrable. Then it is easy to see that Z has R as the covariance function. So, if a process has the covariance function R we say that it is *weakly self-similar with stationary increments*, or second order self-similar with stationary increments. In the Gaussian case the properties of the weak self-similarity and the proper one coincide. In this case Z is called the fractional Brownian motion, and, in particular, the Brownian motion if $H = \frac{1}{2}$. The fractional Brownian motion was originally defined and studied by Kolmogorov (1940) under the name “Wiener helix.” The name “fractional Brownian motion” comes from Mandelbrot and Van Ness (1968).

Recently Dzhaparidze and van Zanten (2004) proved a series representation for the fractional Brownian motion B :

$$B_t = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(x_n t)}{x_n} X_n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \cos(y_n t)}{y_n} Y_n. \quad (1.1)$$

Here the X_n 's and the Y_n 's are independent zero mean Gaussian random variables with certain variances depending on H and n . The x_n 's are the positive real zeros of the Bessel function J_{-H} of the first kind and the y_n 's are the positive real zeros of the Bessel function J_{1-H} . The series in (1.1) converge in mean square as well as uniformly on $[0, 1]$ with probability 1. Details of representation (1.1) are recalled later in Section 3.

In this paper we study the use of the expansion (1.1) in simulating processes with the covariance function R . In particular, we study processes of the form (1.1) where the X_n 's and Y_n 's are replaced by independent random variables from the space $\text{Sub}_{\varphi}(\Omega)$. The fractional Brownian motion may be obtained as a special case with $\varphi(x) = x^2/2$.

Let us end this introduction by saying a few words of the pros and cons of using the series expansion (1.1). The Hurst parameter H is roughly the Hölder index of the process. This means that, especially in the case of small H , the sample paths of the process are very erratic. However, the coefficient functions in (1.1) are smooth. So, in order to have

good accuracy in simulation one needs a large truncation point in the expansion. This is the bad news. The good news is that once the coefficient functions are calculated we are in no way restricted to any pre-given time grid. Indeed, unlike in some traditional simulation methods, to calculate the value of the sample path in a new time point one does not have to condition on the already calculated time points. The computational effort in adding a new time point is always constant.

2. Space $\text{Sub}_\varphi(\Omega)$

We recall some basic facts about the space $\text{Sub}_\varphi(\Omega)$ of φ -sub-Gaussian (or generalised sub-Gaussian) random variables. For details and proofs we refer to Buldygin and Kozachenko (2000) and Krasnoselskii and Rutitskii (1958).

DEFINITION 2.1 (Krasnoselskii and Rutitskii, 1958) *A continuous even convex function $u = \{u(x), x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is an Orlicz N-function if it is strictly increasing for $x > 0$, $u(0) = 0$*

$$\frac{u(x)}{x} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{u(x)}{x} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

PROPOSITION 2.2 (Krasnoselskii and Rutitskii, 1958) *The function u is an Orlicz N-function if and only if*

$$u(x) = \int_0^{|x|} l(u) du, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where the density function l is nondecreasing, right continuous, $l(u) > 0$ as $u > 0$, $l(0) = 0$ and $l(u) \rightarrow \infty$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$.

DEFINITION 2.3 Let u be an Orlicz N-function. The even function $u^* = \{u^*(x), x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ defined by the formula

$$u^*(x) = \sup_{y>0} (xy - u(y)), \quad x \geq 0,$$

is the *Young-Fenchel transformation* of the function u .

PROPOSITION 2.4 (Krasnoselskii and Rutitskii, 1958) *The function u^* is an Orlicz N-function and for $x > 0$*

$$u^*(x) = xy_0 - u(y_0) \quad \text{if } y_0 = l^{-1}(x).$$

Here l^{-1} is the generalised inverse function of l , i.e.,

$$l^{-1}(x) := \sup\{v \geq 0 : l(v) \leq x\}.$$

DEFINITION 2.5 The assumption Q holds for an Orlicz N -function φ if it is quadratic around the origin, i.e., there exist such constants $x_0 > 0$ and $C > 0$ that $\varphi(x) = Cx^2$ for $|x| \leq x_0$.

EXAMPLE 2.6 The assumption Q holds for the following Orlicz N -functions

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{|x|^p}{p} & \text{if } |x| > 1, \\ \frac{x^2}{p} & \text{if } |x| \leq 1, \end{cases} \quad p > 1;$$

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{e\alpha}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} x^2 & \text{if } |x| \leq \left(\frac{2}{\alpha}\right)^{1/\alpha}, \\ \exp\{|x|^\alpha\} & \text{if } |x| > \left(\frac{2}{\alpha}\right)^{1/\alpha}, \end{cases} \quad 0 < \alpha < 1.$$

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ be a standard probability space.

DEFINITION 2.7 Let φ be an Orlicz N -function satisfying the assumption Q . A zero mean random variable ξ belongs to the space $\text{Sub}_\varphi(\Omega)$, the space of φ -sub-Gaussian random variables, if there exists a positive and finite constant a such that the inequality

$$\mathbf{E} \exp\{\lambda\xi\} \leq \exp\{\varphi(a\lambda)\}$$

holds for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

REMARK 2.8 Note that like the Gaussian variables the φ -sub-Gaussian random variables also have light tails. In particular, they have moments of all orders.

PROPOSITION 2.9 (Buldygin and Kozachenko, 2000) The space $\text{Sub}_\varphi(\Omega)$ is a Banach space with respect to the norm

$$\tau_\varphi(\xi) = \inf\{a \geq 0 : \mathbf{E} \exp\{\lambda\xi\} \leq \exp\{\varphi(a\lambda)\}, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Moreover, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\mathbf{E} \exp\{\lambda\xi\} \leq \exp\{\varphi(\lambda\tau_\varphi(\xi))\}, \quad (\mathbf{E}\xi^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq (2C)^{\frac{1}{2}}\tau_\varphi(\xi),$$

where C is the constant from the assumption Q .

DEFINITION 2.10 A stochastic process $X = (X_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ is a $\text{Sub}_\varphi(\Omega)$ -process if it is a bounded family of $\text{Sub}_\varphi(\Omega)$ random variables: $X_t \in \text{Sub}_\varphi(\Omega)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and

$$\sup_{t \in [0,1]} \tau_\varphi(X_t) < \infty.$$

The properties of random variables from the spaces $\text{Sub}_\varphi(\Omega)$ were studied in the book (Buldygin and Kozachenko, 2000).

REMARK 2.11 When $\varphi(x) = \frac{x^2}{2}$ the space $\text{Sub}_\varphi(\Omega)$ is called the space of sub-Gaussian random variables and is denoted by $\text{Sub}(\Omega)$. Centred Gaussian random variables ξ belong to the space $\text{Sub}(\Omega)$, and in this case $\tau_\varphi(\xi)$ is just the standard deviation: $(\mathbf{E}\xi^2)^{1/2}$. Also, if ξ is bounded, i.e., $|\xi| \leq c$ a.s. then $\xi \in \text{Sub}(\Omega)$ and $\tau_\varphi(\xi) \leq c$.

PROPOSITION 2.12 *Let φ be an Orlicz N -function satisfying the assumption Q . Assume further that the function $\varphi(\sqrt{\cdot})$ is convex. Let $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n$ be independent random variables from the space $Sub_{\varphi}(\Omega)$. Then*

$$\tau_{\varphi}^2\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \tau_{\varphi}^2(\xi_i).$$

3. Series Representation

Let us now recall the Dzharidze–van Zanten series representation (1.1) in detail. Let J_{ν} be the Bessel function of the first kind of order ν , i.e.,

$$J_{\nu}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (x/2)^{\nu+2n}}{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(\nu+n+1)}.$$

Here $x > 0$, $\nu \neq -1, -2, \dots$ and Γ denotes the Euler Gamma function

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_0^{\infty} t^{z-1} e^{-t} dt.$$

It is well-known that for $\nu > -1$ the Bessel function J_{ν} has countable number of real positive zeros tending to infinity. Denote by x_n the n th positive real zero of the Bessel function J_{-H} ; y_n is the n th positive real zero of J_{1-H} .

Let B be the fractional Brownian motion with index H . Then it may be represented as the mean square convergent series

$$B_t = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \sin(x_n t) \tilde{X}_n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n (1 - \cos(y_n t)) \tilde{Y}_n.$$

Here $\tilde{X}_n, \tilde{Y}_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$, are independent and identically distributed zero mean Gaussian random variables with $\mathbf{E}\tilde{X}_n^2 = \mathbf{E}\tilde{Y}_n^2 = 1$ and

$$c_n = \frac{\pi^H \sqrt{2c}}{x_n^{H+1} J_{1-H}(x_n)}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \tag{3.1}$$

$$d_n = \frac{\pi^H \sqrt{2c}}{y_n^{H+1} J_{-H}(y_n)}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \tag{3.2}$$

$$c = \frac{\Gamma(2H+1)\sin(\pi H)}{\pi^{2H+1}}. \tag{3.3}$$

We shall generalise the setting above in the following way: Define a process $Z = (Z_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ by the expansion

$$Z_t = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \sin(x_n t) \xi_n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n (1 - \cos(y_n t)) \eta_n, \tag{3.4}$$

where c_n and d_n are given by (3.1) and (3.2), $\xi_n, \eta_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$, are independent identically distributed centred random variables from the space $\text{Sub}_\varphi(\Omega)$ with $\mathbf{E}\xi_n^2 = \mathbf{E}\eta_n^2 = 1, n = 1, 2, \dots$. Furthermore, we shall assume that the function $\varphi(\sqrt{\cdot})$ is convex.

Since φ -sub-Gaussian random variables are square integrable we have the following direct consequence of the series representation (1.1) for fractional Brownian motion.

PROPOSITION 3.1 *The series (3.4) converges in mean square and the covariance function of the process Z is R .*

In addition to the L^2 -convergence the spaces $\text{Sub}_\varphi(\Omega)$ are nice enough to allow uniform ω -by- ω convergence.

THEOREM 3.2 *The series (3.4) converges uniformly with probability one and the process Z is almost surely continuous on $[0, 1]$. Moreover, if Z is strongly self-similar with stationary increments then it is β -Hölder continuous with any index $\beta < H$.*

The continuity in Theorem 3.2 follows by using Hunt's theorem (Hunt, 1951). The Hölder continuity comes from Kolmogorov's criterion (Embrechts and Maejima, 2002), Lemma 4.1.1. Let us also note that from the case of fractional Brownian motion we know that in general we cannot have Hölder continuity with index $\beta = H$, cf. (Decreusefond and Üstünel, 1999).

For the reader's convenience we now recite a modification of Hunt's theorem as a lemma (cf. Buldygin and Kozachenko, 2000, Example 3.5.2).

LEMMA 3.3 *Suppose that $(\xi_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence of independent centred random variables with $\mathbf{E}\xi_n^2 = 1, n = 1, 2, \dots$. Let $(\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence such that $\lambda_n \leq \lambda_{n+1}$ and $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

If

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 (\ln(1 + \lambda_n))^{1+\beta} < \infty$$

for some $\beta > 0$ then the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos(\lambda_n t) \xi_n \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \sin(\lambda_n t) \xi_n$$

converge uniformly on $[0, 1]$ with probability one.

Proof of Theorem 3.2: Let us consider the almost sure uniform convergence first. Now, from Watson (1944), p. 506, we have $x_n \sim y_n \sim \pi n$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Also from (Watson, 1944), p. 200, we have the following asymptotic relation for the Bessel function J_ν for $\nu > -1$:

$$J_\nu^2(x) + J_{\nu+1}^2(x) \sim \frac{2}{\pi x}$$

for large $|x|$. Since the zeros x_n of J_{-H} and y_n of J_{1-H} tend to infinity this yields

$$J_{1-H}^2(x_n) \sim \frac{2}{\pi x_n} \quad \text{and} \quad J_{-H}^2(y_n) \sim \frac{2}{\pi y_n}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore,

$$c_n^2 \sim \frac{c}{n^{2H+1}} \quad \text{and} \quad d_n^2 \sim \frac{c}{n^{2H+1}}$$

(see (3.1)–(3.3)). Consequently, the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n^2 (\ln(1+x_n))^{1+\varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n^2 (\ln(1+y_n))^{1+\varepsilon}$$

converge for all $\varepsilon > 0$. The almost sure uniform convergence and the continuity of the process follow now from Hunt’s theorem (Lemma 3.3), since almost sure uniform convergence of the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n \cos(y_n t) \eta_n$ and almost sure convergence of the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n \eta_n$ imply that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n (1 - \cos(y_n t)) \eta_n$ also converges uniformly on $[0, 1]$ with probability one.

To see the Hölder continuity of Z just use strong self-similarity and the stationarity of the increments together with the fact that Z has all moments. Indeed, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\mathbf{E}|Z_t - Z_s|^n = \mathbf{E}|Z_{t-s}|^n = |t - s|^{Hn} \mathbf{E}|Z_1|^n,$$

and the claim follows from Kolmogorov’s criterion. ■

4. Simulation, Accuracy and Reliability

We want to construct a model \tilde{Z} of the process Z , such that \tilde{Z} approximates Z with given reliability and accuracy in the norm of some Banach space. In this paper we consider the space $C([0, 1])$ equipped with the usual sup-norm.

DEFINITION 4.1 *The model \tilde{Z} approximates the process Z with given reliability $1 - \nu$, $0 < \nu < 1$, and accuracy $\delta > 0$ in $C([0, 1])$ if*

$$\mathbf{P} \left(\sup_{t \in [0,1]} |Z_t - \tilde{Z}_t| > \delta \right) \leq \nu.$$

A natural model for Z , defined by the expansion (3.4), would be the truncated series

$$\sum_{n=1}^N (c_n \sin(x_n t) \xi_n + d_n (1 - \cos(y_n t)) \eta_n).$$

However, it is realistic to assume that the constants c_n and d_n and the zeros x_n, y_n are only calculated approximately, especially since there are fast-to-compute asymptotic formulas for the zeros x_n and y_n (cf. Watson, 1944, p. 506). Note that the constants c_n and d_n depend on the zeros.

Let \tilde{c}_n and \tilde{d}_n be the approximated values of the c_n and d_n , respectively. Let

$$|\tilde{c}_n - c_n| \leq \gamma_n^c,$$

$$|\tilde{d}_n - d_n| \leq \gamma_n^d,$$

$n = 1, \dots, N$. The errors γ_n^c and γ_n^d are assumed to be known. Let \tilde{x}_n and \tilde{y}_n be approximations of the corresponding zeros x_n and y_n with error bounds

$$|\tilde{x}_n - x_n| \leq \gamma_n^x,$$

$$|\tilde{y}_n - y_n| \leq \gamma_n^y,$$

The error bounds γ_n^x and γ_n^y are also assumed to be known.

Then, the model of the process Z is

$$\tilde{Z}_t = \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\tilde{c}_n \sin(\tilde{x}_n t) \xi_n + \tilde{d}_n (1 - \cos(\tilde{y}_n t)) \eta_n \right). \tag{4.1}$$

The error in the simulation (model) is

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_t &:= Z_t - \tilde{Z}_t \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \left\{ (c_n \sin(x_n t) - \tilde{c}_n \sin(\tilde{x}_n t)) \xi_n + (d_n (1 - \cos(y_n t)) - \tilde{d}_n (1 - \cos(\tilde{y}_n t))) \eta_n \right\} \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \{ c_n \sin(x_n t) \xi_n + d_n (1 - \cos(y_n t)) \eta_n \} \\ &=: \Delta_t^{\text{appr}} + \Delta_t^{\text{cut}}. \end{aligned}$$

In order to bound the error Δ in $C([0, 1])$ we need estimates for $\tau_\varphi(\Delta_t)$ and $\tau_\varphi(\Delta_t - \Delta_s)$ for all $s, t \in [0, 1]$. The estimates are given in the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 4.2 Denote $a_\varphi := \tau_\varphi(\xi_n) = \tau_\varphi(\eta_n)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{\text{cut}} &:= a_\varphi^2 \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} (c_n^2 + 4d_n^2), \\ \gamma^{\text{appr}} &:= a_\varphi^2 \sum_{n=1}^N \left\{ (c_n \gamma_n^x + \gamma_n^c)^2 + (d_n \gamma_n^y + 2\gamma_n^d)^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\alpha \in (0, H)$ and denote

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_\alpha^{\text{cut}} &:= 2^{2-2\alpha} a_\varphi^2 \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} (c_n^{2\alpha} x_n^{2\alpha} + d_n^{2\alpha} y_n^{2\alpha}), \\ \gamma_\alpha^{\text{appr}} &:= 2^{3-2\alpha} a_\varphi^2 \sum_{n=1}^N \left\{ x_n^{2\alpha} (\gamma_n^c)^2 + y_n^{2\alpha} (\gamma_n^d)^2 + 2^{3-2\alpha} \left((\tilde{c}_n)^2 (\gamma_n^x)^{2\alpha} \left(\frac{(x_n + \tilde{x}_n)^{2\alpha}}{2^{2\alpha}} + 1 \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + (\tilde{d}_n)^2 (\gamma_n^y)^{2\alpha} \left(\frac{(y_n + \tilde{y}_n)^{2\alpha}}{2^{2\alpha}} + 1 \right) \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have for all $s, t \in [0, 1]$

$$\tau_{\varphi}^2(\Delta_t) \leq \gamma^{\text{appr}} + \gamma^{\text{cut}}, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\tau_{\varphi}^2(\Delta_t - \Delta_s) \leq (\gamma_{\alpha}^{\text{appr}} + \gamma_{\alpha}^{\text{cut}})|t - s|^{2\alpha}. \quad (4.3)$$

Proof: By Proposition 2.12 we know that

$$\tau_{\varphi}^2(\Delta_t) \leq \tau_{\varphi}^2(\Delta_t^{\text{appr}}) + \tau_{\varphi}^2(\Delta_t^{\text{cut}}). \quad (4.3)$$

For $\tau_{\varphi}^2(\Delta_t^{\text{appr}})$ we obtain by using Proposition 2.12 and the mean value theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\varphi}^2(\Delta_t^{\text{appr}}) &\leq \sum_{n=1}^N (c_n \sin(x_n t) - \tilde{c}_n (\sin \tilde{x}_n t))^2 \tau_{\varphi}^2(\xi_n) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^N \left(d_n (1 - \cos(y_n t)) - \tilde{d}_n (1 - \cos(\tilde{y}_n t)) \right)^2 \tau_{\varphi}^2(\eta_n) \\ &= a_{\varphi}^2 \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N (c_n (\sin(x_n t) - \sin(\tilde{x}_n t)) + (c_n - \tilde{c}_n) \sin(\tilde{x}_n t))^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{n=1}^N \left(d_n (\cos(\tilde{y}_n t) - \cos(y_n t)) + (d_n - \tilde{d}_n) (1 - \cos(\tilde{y}_n t)) \right)^2 \right\} \\ &\leq a_{\varphi}^2 \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N (c_n |x_n - \tilde{x}_n| t + |(c_n - \tilde{c}_n) \sin(\tilde{x}_n t)|)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{n=1}^N \left(d_n |\tilde{y}_n - y_n| t + \left| (d_n - \tilde{d}_n) (1 - \cos(\tilde{y}_n t)) \right| \right)^2 \right\} \\ &\leq a_{\varphi}^2 \sum_{n=1}^N \left\{ (c_n \gamma_n^x + \gamma_n^c)^2 + (d_n \gamma_n^y + 2\gamma_n^d)^2 \right\}. \\ &= \gamma^{\text{appr}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we obtain

$$\tau_{\varphi}^2(\Delta_t^{\text{cut}}) \leq a_{\varphi}^2 \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} (c_n^2 + 4d_n^2) = \gamma^{\text{cut}}. \quad (4.4)$$

Recall the asymptotics of c_n^2 and d_n^2 (3.5) to see that the series (4.4) above converges. The estimate (4.2) follows.

Now we shall estimate the incremental error $\tau_\varphi^2(\Delta_t - \Delta_s)$. For the “cut-off” part we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_\varphi^2(\Delta_t^{\text{cut}} - \Delta_s^{\text{cut}}) &= \tau_\varphi^2 \left(\sum_{n=N+1}^\infty c_n (\sin(x_n t) - \sin(x_n s)) \xi_n + \sum_{n=N+1}^\infty d_n (\cos(y_n s) - \cos(y_n t)) \eta_n \right) \\ &\leq 2^{2(1-\alpha)} a_\varphi^2 \sum_{n=N+1}^\infty \left(c_n^2 (x_n |t-s|)^{2\alpha} + d_n^2 (y_n |t-s|)^{2\alpha} \right) \\ &= 2^{2(1-\alpha)} a_\varphi^2 \sum_{n=N+1}^\infty (c_n^2 x_n^{2\alpha} + d_n^2 y_n^{2\alpha}) |t-s|^{2\alpha} \\ &= \gamma_\alpha^{\text{cut}} |t-s|^{2\alpha}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Due to the asymptotics (3.5) and $x_n \sim y_n \sim \pi n$ the series in (4.5) converge if $\alpha < H$. For the “approximating part” we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_\varphi^2(\Delta_t^{\text{appr}} - \Delta_s^{\text{appr}}) &\leq a_\varphi^2 \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N (c_n (\sin(x_n t) - \sin(x_n s)) - \tilde{c}_n (\sin(\tilde{x}_n t) - \sin(\tilde{x}_n s)))^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{n=1}^N (d_n (\cos(y_n s) - \cos(y_n t)) - \tilde{d}_n (\cos(\tilde{y}_n s) - \cos(\tilde{y}_n t)))^2 \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

By using the relations

$$\begin{aligned} |ab - cd| &\leq |a||b - d| + |a - c||d|, \\ (a + b)^2 &\leq 2a^2 + 2b^2, \\ \sin x - \sin y &= 2\cos \frac{x+y}{2} \sin \frac{x-y}{2} \\ \cos x - \cos y &= 2\sin \frac{x+y}{2} \sin \frac{y-x}{2} \end{aligned}$$

we obtain for the summand in (4.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} &|c_n (\sin(x_n t) - \sin(x_n s)) - \tilde{c}_n (\sin(\tilde{x}_n t) - \sin(\tilde{x}_n s))| \\ &\leq |\sin(x_n t) - \sin(x_n s)| |c_n - \tilde{c}_n| + |\sin(x_n t) - \sin(x_n s) - \sin(\tilde{x}_n t) + \sin(\tilde{x}_n s)| |\tilde{c}_n|, \\ &|\sin(x_n t) - \sin(x_n s)| = \left| 2\sin \left(\frac{x_n(t-s)}{2} \right) \cos \left(\frac{x_n(t+s)}{2} \right) \right| \\ &\leq 2 \left| \frac{x_n(t-s)}{2} \right|^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\sin(x_n t) - \sin(x_n s) - \sin(\tilde{x}_n t) + \sin(\tilde{x}_n s)| \\
&= |(\sin(x_n t) - \sin(\tilde{x}_n t)) - (\sin(x_n s) - \sin(\tilde{x}_n s))| \\
&= \left| 2 \sin\left(\frac{(x_n - \tilde{x}_n)t}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{(x_n + \tilde{x}_n)t}{2}\right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2 \sin\left(\frac{(x_n - \tilde{x}_n)s}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{(x_n + \tilde{x}_n)s}{2}\right) \right| \\
&\leq 2 \left(\left| \sin\left(\frac{(x_n - \tilde{x}_n)t}{2}\right) \right| \left| \cos\left(\frac{(x_n + \tilde{x}_n)t}{2}\right) - \cos\left(\frac{(x_n + \tilde{x}_n)s}{2}\right) \right| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left| \sin\left(\frac{(x_n - \tilde{x}_n)t}{2}\right) - \sin\left(\frac{(x_n - \tilde{x}_n)s}{2}\right) \right| \left| \cos\left(\frac{(x_n + \tilde{x}_n)s}{2}\right) \right| \right) \\
&= 2 \left(\left| \sin\left(\frac{(x_n - \tilde{x}_n)t}{2}\right) \right| \left| 2 \sin\left(\frac{(x_n + \tilde{x}_n)(t+s)}{4}\right) \sin\left(\frac{(x_n + \tilde{x}_n)(s-t)}{4}\right) \right| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left| 2 \cos\left(\frac{(x_n + \tilde{x}_n)(t+s)}{4}\right) \sin\left(\frac{(x_n - \tilde{x}_n)(t-s)}{4}\right) \right| \left| \cos\left(\frac{(x_n + \tilde{x}_n)s}{2}\right) \right| \right) \\
&\leq 4 \left(\left| \frac{(x_n - \tilde{x}_n)t}{2} \right|^\alpha \left| \frac{(x_n + \tilde{x}_n)(s-t)}{4} \right|^\alpha + \left| \frac{(x_n - \tilde{x}_n)(t-s)}{4} \right|^\alpha \right) \\
&\leq 2^{2-2\alpha} (\gamma_n^x)^\alpha \left(\frac{(x_n + \tilde{x}_n)^\alpha}{2^\alpha} + 1 \right) |t-s|^\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(c_n (\sin(x_n t) - \sin(x_n s)) - \tilde{c}_n (\sin(\tilde{x}_n t) - \sin(\tilde{x}_n s)) \right)^2 \\
&\leq \left(2 \left| \frac{x_n(t-s)}{2} \right|^\alpha \gamma_n^c + 2^{2-2\alpha} (\gamma_n^x)^\alpha \left(\frac{(x_n + \tilde{x}_n)^\alpha}{2^\alpha} + 1 \right) |t-s|^\alpha |\tilde{c}_n| \right)^2 \\
&\leq 2 \left(2 \left| \frac{x_n(t-s)}{2} \right|^\alpha \gamma_n^c \right)^2 + 2 \left(2^{2-2\alpha} (\gamma_n^x)^\alpha \left(\frac{(x_n + \tilde{x}_n)^\alpha}{2^\alpha} + 1 \right) |t-s|^\alpha |\tilde{c}_n| \right)^2 \\
&= |t-s|^{2\alpha} \left(2^{3-2\alpha} x_n^{2\alpha} (\gamma_n^c)^2 + 2^{5-4\alpha} (\tilde{c}_n)^2 (\gamma_n^x)^{2\alpha} \left(\frac{(x_n + \tilde{x}_n)^\alpha}{2^\alpha} + 1 \right)^2 \right) \\
&\leq |t-s|^{2\alpha} \left(2^{3-2\alpha} x_n^{2\alpha} (\gamma_n^c)^2 + 2^{5-4\alpha} (\tilde{c}_n)^2 (\gamma_n^x)^{2\alpha} \left(2 \left(\frac{(x_n + \tilde{x}_n)^\alpha}{2^\alpha} \right)^2 + 2 \right) \right) \\
&= |t-s|^{2\alpha} \left(2^{3-2\alpha} x_n^{2\alpha} (\gamma_n^c)^2 + 2^{6-4\alpha} (\tilde{c}_n)^2 (\gamma_n^x)^{2\alpha} \left(\frac{(x_n + \tilde{x}_n)^{2\alpha}}{2^{2\alpha}} + 1 \right) \right) \\
&= 2^{3-2\alpha} |t-s|^{2\alpha} \left(x_n^{2\alpha} (\gamma_n^c)^2 + 2^{3-2\alpha} (\tilde{c}_n)^2 (\gamma_n^x)^{2\alpha} \left(\frac{(x_n + \tilde{x}_n)^{2\alpha}}{2^{2\alpha}} + 1 \right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

In the same way

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(d_n(\cos(y_n s) - \cos(y_n t)) - \tilde{d}_n(\cos(\tilde{y}_n s) - \cos(\tilde{y}_n t)) \right)^2 \\
& \leq \left(|\cos(y_n s) - \cos(y_n t)| |d_n - \tilde{d}_n| + |\cos(y_n s) - \cos(y_n t) - \cos(\tilde{y}_n s) + \cos(\tilde{y}_n t)| |\tilde{d}_n| \right)^2 \\
& \leq \left(2^{1-\alpha} |y_n|^\alpha |t - s|^\alpha \gamma_n^d + 2^{2-2\alpha} (\gamma_n^y)^\alpha |t - s|^\alpha \left(\frac{(y_n + \tilde{y}_n)^\alpha}{2^\alpha} + 1 \right) |\tilde{d}_n| \right)^2 \\
& \leq 2 \left(2^{1-\alpha} |y_n|^\alpha |t - s|^\alpha \gamma_n^d \right)^2 + 2 \left(2^{2-2\alpha} (\gamma_n^y)^\alpha |t - s|^\alpha \left(\frac{(y_n + \tilde{y}_n)^\alpha}{2^\alpha} + 1 \right) |\tilde{d}_n| \right)^2 \\
& \leq |t - s|^{2\alpha} \left(2^{3-2\alpha} y_n^{2\alpha} (\gamma_n^d)^2 + 2^{5-4\alpha} (\tilde{d}_n)^2 (\gamma_n^y)^{2\alpha} \left(2 \frac{(y_n + \tilde{y}_n)^{2\alpha}}{2^{2\alpha}} + 2 \right) \right) \\
& = 2^{3-2\alpha} |t - s|^{2\alpha} \left(y_n^{2\alpha} (\gamma_n^d)^2 + 2^{3-2\alpha} (\tilde{d}_n)^2 (\gamma_n^y)^{2\alpha} \left(\frac{(y_n + \tilde{y}_n)^{2\alpha}}{2^{2\alpha}} + 1 \right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain following estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tau_\varphi^2 (\Delta_t^{\text{appr}} - \Delta_s^{\text{appr}}) \\
& \leq a_\varphi^2 \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N 2^{3-2\alpha} |t - s|^{2\alpha} \left(x_n^{2\alpha} (\gamma_n^c)^2 + 2^{3-2\alpha} (\tilde{c}_n)^2 (\gamma_n^x)^{2\alpha} \left(\frac{(x_n + \tilde{x}_n)^{2\alpha}}{2^{2\alpha}} + 1 \right) \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{n=1}^N 2^{3-2\alpha} |t - s|^{2\alpha} \left(y_n^{2\alpha} (\gamma_n^d)^2 + 2^{3-2\alpha} (\tilde{d}_n)^2 (\gamma_n^y)^{2\alpha} \left(\frac{(y_n + \tilde{y}_n)^{2\alpha}}{2^{2\alpha}} + 1 \right) \right) \right\} \\
& = 2^{3-2\alpha} a_\varphi^2 |t - s|^{2\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^N \left\{ x_n^{2\alpha} (\gamma_n^c)^2 + y_n^{2\alpha} (\gamma_n^d)^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + 2^{3-2\alpha} \left((\tilde{c}_n)^2 (\gamma_n^x)^{2\alpha} \left(\frac{(x_n + \tilde{x}_n)^{2\alpha}}{2^{2\alpha}} + 1 \right) + (\tilde{d}_n)^2 (\gamma_n^y)^{2\alpha} \left(\frac{(y_n + \tilde{y}_n)^{2\alpha}}{2^{2\alpha}} + 1 \right) \right) \right\} \\
& = \gamma_\alpha^{\text{appr}} \cdot |t - s|^{2\alpha}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Estimate (4.3) follows now by collecting the estimates above and by using Proposition 2.12. \blacksquare

Now we are ready to state, although not yet to prove, our main result.

THEOREM 4.3 *Let b and α be such that $0 < b < \alpha < H$. Let γ^{appr} , $\gamma_\alpha^{\text{appr}}$, γ^{cut} and $\gamma_\alpha^{\text{cut}}$ be as in Proposition 4.2. Denote*

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_0 &= \sqrt{\gamma^{\text{appr}} + \gamma^{\text{cut}}}, \\
\gamma_\alpha &= \sqrt{\gamma_\alpha^{\text{appr}} + \gamma_\alpha^{\text{cut}}}, \\
\beta &= \min \left\{ \gamma_0, \frac{\gamma_\alpha}{2^\alpha} \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Let l be the density of φ .

The model \tilde{Z} , defined by (4.1), approximates the process Z , defined by (3.4), with given reliability $1 - \nu$, $0 < \nu < 1$, and accuracy $\delta > 0$ in $C([0, 1])$ if the following three inequalities are satisfied:

$$\gamma_0 < \delta, \tag{4.8}$$

$$\frac{\beta\gamma_0}{\gamma_\alpha} < \frac{\delta}{2^\alpha(\exp\{\varphi(1)\} - 1)^\alpha}, \quad (4.9)$$

$$2 \exp\left\{-\varphi^*\left(\frac{\delta}{\gamma_0} - 1\right)\right\} \left(\frac{1}{2^b(1 - \frac{b}{\alpha})} \left(\frac{\gamma_\alpha \delta}{\beta\gamma_0}\right)^{\frac{b}{\alpha}} t^{-1} \left(\frac{\delta}{\gamma_0} - 1\right) + 1\right)^{\frac{2}{b}} \leq \nu. \quad (4.10)$$

The following lemma is our main tool for proving Theorem 4.3. For the proof of it we refer to Kozachenko and Vasilik (1998), Lemma 3.3.

LEMMA 4.4 *Let $X = (X_t)_{t \in [0, 1]}$ be a separable random process from the space $\text{Sub}_{\varphi}(\Omega)$. Let $\sigma: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a strictly increasing continuous function such that $\sigma(h) \rightarrow 0$ as $h \rightarrow 0$ and*

$$\sup_{|t-s| \leq h} \tau_{\varphi}(X_t - X_s) \leq \sigma(h).$$

Denote $\gamma_0 = \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} \tau_{\varphi}(X_t)$ and let β be such a number that $\beta \leq \sigma(\frac{1}{2})$. Let $r: [1, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a nondecreasing continuous function such that $r(1) = 0$ and the mapping $u \mapsto r(e^u)$ is convex. Suppose that

$$\int_0^{\beta} \theta(u) du < \infty,$$

where

$$\theta(u) = \theta(\varphi, \sigma, r; u) = \frac{r(N(\sigma^{-1}(u)))}{\varphi^{-1}(\ln N(\sigma^{-1}(u)))},$$

and $N(\varepsilon)$ is the minimum number of closed intervals of the radius ε that is needed to cover the interval $[0, 1]$ (note that $N(\varepsilon) \leq \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} + 1$).

Then for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} \exp\left\{\lambda \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |X_t|\right\} &\leq 2 \exp\left\{\varphi\left(\frac{\lambda\gamma_0}{1-p}\right)(1-p) + \varphi\left(\frac{\lambda\beta}{1-p}\right)p\right\} \\ &\quad \times r^{-1}\left(\lambda\gamma_0\theta(p\beta) + \frac{\lambda}{(1-p)p} \int_0^{\beta p^2} \theta(u) du\right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

REMARK 4.5 (Buldygin and Kozachenko, 2000) Random process X is called a *separable* on (T, ρ) or ρ -separable, if there exist countable everywhere dense (with respect to

pseudometric (metric) ρ set $S \subseteq T$ and a set $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$: $\mathbf{P}(\Omega_0) = 0$, such that for any open set $U \subset T$ and for any closed set $D \subset \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\left(\bigcap_{s \in S \cap U} \{X(s) \in D\} \right) \setminus \left(\bigcap_{s \in U} \{X(s) \in D\} \right) \subset \Omega_0.$$

For any open set $U \subseteq T$ the following relationships are true:

$$\left\{ \sup_{t \in U} X(t) \neq \sup_{t \in S \cap U} X(t) \right\} \subset \Omega_0,$$

$$\left\{ \inf_{t \in U} X(t) \neq \inf_{t \in S \cap U} X(t) \right\} \subset \Omega_0,$$

where $\mathbf{P}(\Omega_0) = 0$. If a random process X is continuous with probability one then it is separable (Buldygin and Kozachenko, 2000).

We have proved that the process Z is almost surely continuous on $[0, 1]$. Hence the process $\Delta_t = Z_t - \tilde{Z}_t$ is almost surely continuous on $[0, 1]$ too. Therefore it is a separable process. So we can apply Lemma 4.4 to Δ_t .

Let us now reformulate Lemma 4.4 above for our case.

LEMMA 4.6 *Let α, β, γ_0 and γ_α be as in Theorem 4.3, and let r and θ be as in Lemma 4.4. Then for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p \in (0, 1)$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P} \left(\sup_{t \in [0,1]} |\Delta_t| > \delta \right) &\leq 2 \exp \left\{ -\lambda \delta + \varphi \left(\frac{\lambda \gamma_0}{1-p} \right) \right\} \\ &\times r^{-1} \left(\frac{\gamma_0}{\beta} \frac{\lambda}{p(1-p)} \int_0^{\beta p} \theta(u) du \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Proof: From Proposition 4.2 it follows that for the error process Δ we may take

$$\gamma_0 = \sqrt{\gamma^{\text{appr}} + \gamma^{\text{cut}}}.$$

and

$$\sigma(h) = \gamma_\alpha \cdot h^\alpha = \sqrt{\gamma_\alpha^{\text{appr}} + \gamma_\alpha^{\text{cut}}} \cdot h^\alpha.$$

In the inequality (4.11) we put $\beta = \min\{\gamma_0, \frac{\gamma_\alpha}{2^\alpha}\}$. Since $\beta \leq \gamma_0$ we have

$$\varphi \left(\frac{\lambda \gamma_0}{1-p} \right) (1-p) + \varphi \left(\frac{\lambda \beta}{1-p} \right) p \leq \varphi \left(\frac{\lambda \gamma_0}{1-p} \right)$$

So, it follows from the Chebyshev inequality and from (4.11) that for any $\delta > 0$ we have

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\sup_{t \in [0,1]} |\Delta_t| > \delta\right) \leq \exp\left\{-\lambda\delta + \varphi\left(\frac{\lambda\gamma_0}{1-p}\right)\right\} \cdot 2I_r^2,$$

where we have used the denotation

$$I_r = r^{-1}\left(\lambda\gamma_0\theta(p\beta) + \frac{\lambda}{(1-p)p} \int_0^{\beta p^2} \theta(u) du\right).$$

Since the function $t \mapsto r(e^{\varphi(t)})$ is an Orlicz N-function then $\psi(t) = \frac{r(e^{\varphi(t)})}{t}$ increases in $t \geq 0$ (cf. Krasnoselskii and Rutitskii, 1958). Therefore, $\psi(\varphi^{-1}(x)) = \frac{r(e^x)}{\varphi^{-1}(x)}$ increases in $x \geq 0$. Consequently, θ is a decreasing function. Thus,

$$\theta(p\beta) \leq \frac{1}{\beta p(1-p)} \int_{\beta p^2}^{\beta p} \theta(u) du$$

and

$$\lambda\gamma_0\theta(p\beta) \leq \frac{\lambda\gamma_0}{\beta p(1-p)} \int_{\beta p^2}^{\beta p} \theta(u) du.$$

Since $\frac{\gamma_0}{\beta} \geq 1$ we have

$$\lambda\gamma_0\theta(p\beta) + \frac{\lambda}{p(1-p)} \int_0^{\beta p^2} \theta(u) du \leq \frac{\gamma_0}{\beta} \frac{\lambda}{p(1-p)} \int_0^{\beta p} \theta(u) du.$$

The claim follows now from Lemma 4.4. \blacksquare

Theorem 4.3 follows now by using the Young–Fenchel transformation and then choosing suitable λ , p and r in the inequality (4.12).

Proof of Theorem 4.3: By Proposition 2.4 we know that $xy = \varphi(x) + \varphi^*(y)$ when $x = l^{-1}(y)$, where l^{-1} is the generalised inverse function of the density l of φ . Since

$$\lambda\delta - \varphi\left(\frac{\lambda\gamma_0}{1-p}\right) = \frac{\lambda\gamma_0}{1-p} \cdot \frac{\delta(1-p)}{\gamma_0} - \varphi\left(\frac{\lambda\gamma_0}{1-p}\right)$$

we have the equality

$$\lambda\delta - \varphi\left(\frac{\lambda\gamma_0}{1-p}\right) = \varphi^*\left(\frac{\delta(1-p)}{\gamma_0}\right)$$

when

$$\frac{\lambda\gamma_0}{1-p} = I^{-1}\left(\frac{\delta(1-p)}{\gamma_0}\right).$$

So, we choose the following λ :

$$\lambda = \frac{1-p}{\gamma_0} I^{-1}\left(\frac{\delta(1-p)}{\gamma_0}\right).$$

Setting this λ in the inequality (4.12) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}\left(\sup_{t \in [0,1]} |\Delta_t| > \delta\right) &\leq 2 \exp\left\{-\varphi^*\left(\frac{\delta(1-p)}{\gamma_0}\right)\right\} \times r^{-1} \left(\frac{\gamma_0}{\beta} \frac{\lambda}{p(1-p)} \int_0^{\beta p} \theta(u) du\right)^2 \\ &= 2 \exp\left\{-\varphi^*\left(\frac{\delta(1-p)}{\gamma_0}\right)\right\} \times r^{-1} \left(\frac{\gamma_0}{\beta} \frac{(1-p)}{\gamma_0} I^{-1}\left(\frac{\delta(1-p)}{\gamma_0}\right) \frac{1}{p(1-p)} \int_0^{\beta p} \theta(u) du\right)^2 \\ &= 2 \exp\left\{-\varphi^*\left(\frac{\delta(1-p)}{\gamma_0}\right)\right\} \times r^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\beta p} I^{-1}\left(\frac{\delta(1-p)}{\gamma_0}\right) \int_0^{\beta p} \theta(u) du\right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Let us now consider the integral term above. In our case we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\beta p} \theta(u) du &= \int_0^{\beta p} \frac{r(N(\sigma^{-1}(u)))}{\varphi^{-1}(\ln N(\sigma^{-1}(u)))} du \\ &\leq \int_0^{\beta p} \frac{r\left(\frac{1}{2\sigma^{-1}(u)} + 1\right)}{\varphi^{-1}\left(\ln\left(\frac{1}{2\sigma^{-1}(u)} + 1\right)\right)} du \\ &= \int_0^{\beta p} \frac{r\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\gamma_\alpha}{u}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} + 1\right)}{\varphi^{-1}\left(\ln\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\gamma_\alpha}{u}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} + 1\right)\right)} du. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if the denominator satisfies

$$\varphi^{-1}\left(\ln\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\gamma_\alpha}{u}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} + 1\right)\right) \geq 1$$

as $u \leq \beta p$, that is

$$p \leq \frac{\gamma_{\alpha}}{\beta 2^{\alpha} (\exp \{\varphi(1)\} - 1)^{\alpha}}, \quad (4.13)$$

then we have

$$\int_0^{\beta p} \theta(u) du \leq \int_0^{\beta p} r \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{\alpha}}{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} + 1 \right) du.$$

Let us choose $r(x) = x^b - 1$, where $0 < b < \alpha$. Then, by using the estimate above and the fact that $(x+1)^b - x^b \leq 1$, we obtain

$$\int_0^{\beta p} \theta(u) du \leq \int_0^{\beta p} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{\alpha}}{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right)^b du = \frac{\gamma_{\alpha}^{\frac{b}{\alpha}} (\beta p)^{1 - \frac{b}{\alpha}}}{2^b (1 - \frac{b}{\alpha})}.$$

Thus, we have obtained the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P} \left(\sup_{t \in [0,1]} |\Delta_t| > \delta \right) &\leq 2 \exp \left\{ -\varphi^* \left(\frac{\delta(1-p)}{\gamma_0} \right) \right\} \times r^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\beta p} l^{-1} \left(\frac{\delta(1-p)}{\gamma_0} \right) \frac{\gamma_{\alpha}^{\frac{b}{\alpha}} (\beta p)^{1 - \frac{b}{\alpha}}}{2^b (1 - \frac{b}{\alpha})} \right)^2 \\ &= 2 \exp \left\{ -\varphi^* \left(\frac{\delta(1-p)}{\gamma_0} \right) \right\} \times r^{-1} \left(\frac{\gamma_{\alpha}^{\frac{b}{\alpha}} (\beta p)^{\frac{b}{\alpha}}}{2^b (1 - \frac{b}{\alpha})} l^{-1} \left(\frac{\delta(1-p)}{\gamma_0} \right) \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

For p we choose

$$p = \frac{\gamma_0}{\delta} \quad (4.14)$$

(recall that $\gamma_0 < \delta$) and we obtain the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P} \left(\sup_{t \in [0,1]} |\Delta_t| > \delta \right) &\leq 2 \exp \left\{ -\varphi^* \left(\frac{\delta}{\gamma_0} - 1 \right) \right\} \times r^{-1} \left(\frac{\gamma_{\alpha}^{\frac{b}{\alpha}} (\beta \frac{\gamma_0}{\delta})^{-\frac{b}{\alpha}}}{2^b (1 - \frac{b}{\alpha})} l^{-1} \left(\frac{\delta}{\gamma_0} - 1 \right) \right)^2 \\ &= 2 \exp \left\{ -\varphi^* \left(\frac{\delta}{\gamma_0} - 1 \right) \right\} \times r^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2^b (1 - \frac{b}{\alpha})} \left(\frac{\gamma_{\alpha} \delta}{\beta \gamma_0} \right)^{\frac{b}{\alpha}} l^{-1} \left(\frac{\delta}{\gamma_0} - 1 \right) \right)^2 \\ &= 2 \exp \left\{ -\varphi^* \left(\frac{\delta}{\gamma_0} - 1 \right) \right\} \times \left(\frac{1}{2^b (1 - \frac{b}{\alpha})} \left(\frac{\gamma_{\alpha} \delta}{\beta \gamma_0} \right)^{\frac{b}{\alpha}} l^{-1} \left(\frac{\delta}{\gamma_0} - 1 \right) + 1 \right)^{\frac{2}{b}} \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

The claim follows from the inequalities (4.13)–(4.15) and Lemma 4.6. \blacksquare

Let us now assume that the constants c_n and d_n and the zeros x_n and y_n are actually correctly calculated.

COROLLARY 4.7 *Suppose that there is no approximation error, i.e., $\gamma_n^c = \gamma_n^d = \gamma_n^x = \gamma_n^y = 0$. Then the conditions (4.8)–(4.10) of Theorem 4.3 are satisfied if*

$$N \geq \max \left\{ \left(\frac{A_0}{\delta} \right)^{1/H} + 1; \left(\frac{A_0(\exp \{ \varphi(1) \} - 1)^\alpha}{\delta} \right)^{1/H} + 1; 2 \left(\frac{A_0}{A_\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right\} \quad (4.16)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \nu \geq & 2 \exp \left\{ -\varphi^* \left(\frac{\delta N^H}{A_0} - 1 \right) \right\} \\ & \times \left(\frac{(\delta A_\alpha)^{\frac{b}{\alpha}} (N+1)^{2Hb/\alpha}}{2^b \left(1 - \frac{b}{\alpha}\right) A_0^{\frac{2b}{\alpha}} N^{\frac{(H-\alpha)b}{\alpha}}} \Gamma^{-1} \left(\frac{\delta(N+1)^H}{A_0} - 1 \right) + 1 \right)^{\frac{2}{b}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

where

$$A_0 = a_\varphi \sqrt{\frac{5c}{2H}} \quad \text{and} \quad A_\alpha = 2^{1-\alpha} a_\varphi \pi^\alpha \sqrt{\frac{c}{H-\alpha}}. \quad (4.17)$$

Proof: Note that now $\gamma^{\text{appr}} = \gamma_\alpha^{\text{appr}} = 0$.

We shall use the asymptotics $x_n \sim y_n \sim n\pi$ and $c_n^2 \sim d_n^2 \sim \frac{c}{n^{2H+1}}$ in the expressions for γ^{cut} and $\gamma_\alpha^{\text{cut}}$.

For γ^{cut} we get the upper bound

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{\text{cut}} &= a_\varphi^2 \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} (c_n^2 + 4d_n^2) \\ &\sim a_\varphi^2 \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{5c}{n^{2H+1}} \\ &\leq 5ca_\varphi^2 \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \int_n^{n+1} \frac{dx}{x^{2H+1}} \\ &= \frac{5ca_\varphi^2}{2HN^{2H}}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\gamma_\alpha^{\text{cut}}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_\alpha^{\text{cut}} &= 2^{2-2\alpha} a_\varphi^2 \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} (c_n^{2\alpha} x_n^{2\alpha} + d_n^{2\alpha} y_n^{2\alpha}) \\ &\sim 2^{2-2\alpha} a_\varphi^2 \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{c(\pi n)^{2\alpha}}{n^{2H+1}} + \frac{c(\pi n)^{2\alpha}}{n^{2H+1}} \right) \\ &\leq 2^{2-2\alpha} a_\varphi^2 \cdot 2c\pi^{2\alpha} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \int_n^{n+1} \frac{dx}{x^{2(H-\alpha)+1}} \\ &= \frac{2^{2-2\alpha} a_\varphi^2 c\pi^{2\alpha}}{(H-\alpha)N^{2(H-\alpha)}}. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way we get the lower bounds

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma^{\text{cut}} &\geq \frac{5ca_{\varphi}^2}{2H(N+1)^{2H}}, \\ \gamma_{\alpha}^{\text{cut}} &\geq \frac{2^{2-2\alpha}a_{\varphi}^2c\pi^{2\alpha}}{(H-\alpha)(N+1)^{2(H-\alpha)}}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have the following asymptotic bounds for γ_0 and γ_{α} of Theorem 4.3:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{A_0}{(N+1)^H} &\leq \gamma_0 \leq \frac{A_0}{N^H}, \\ \frac{A_{\alpha}}{(N+1)^{H-\alpha}} &\leq \gamma_{\alpha} \leq \frac{A_{\alpha}}{N^{H-\alpha}}.\end{aligned}$$

If

$$N \geq 2\left(\frac{A_0}{A_{\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$$

then in Theorem 4.3 we have $\beta = \gamma_0$. Now we see that the condition (4.8) is satisfied if

$$N \geq \left(\frac{A_0}{\delta}\right)^{1/H} + 1.$$

Similarly, (4.9) is satisfied if

$$N \geq \left(\frac{A_0(\exp\{\varphi(1)\} - 1)^{\alpha}}{\delta}\right)^{1/H} + 1.$$

Finally, we see that the condition (4.10) is satisfied if (4.17) holds. \blacksquare

Theorem 4.3 and Corollary 4.7 are still rather general and not readily useful in practice. Indeed, there are still the parameters α and b one has to optimise. If we choose a specific form for the function φ we are able to give an applicable version of Corollary 4.7. The next corollary deals with the sub-Gaussian case, i.e., $\varphi(x) = x^2/2$.

COROLLARY 4.8 *If the process Z is sub-Gaussian then the conditions (4.16) and (4.17) of Corollary 4.7 are satisfied if*

$$N \geq \max \left\{ \left(\frac{a_{\varphi}}{\delta} \sqrt{\frac{5c}{2H}} \right)^{1/H} + 1; \frac{2^{2-\frac{1}{H}}5^{\frac{1}{H}}}{\pi} \right\} \quad (4.18)$$

and

$$2\mu \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\delta N^H}{a_\varphi \sqrt{\frac{5c}{2H}}} - 1 \right)^2 \right\} N^{14} \leq \nu, \tag{4.19}$$

where

$$\mu = \pi^2 2^{\frac{22}{H}-4} 5^{-\frac{8}{H}} \left(\frac{H}{c} \right)^{\frac{6}{H}} \left(\frac{\delta}{a_\varphi} \right)^{\frac{12}{H}}. \tag{4.19}$$

Proof: In the sub-Gaussian case we have $\varphi(x) = \frac{x^2}{2}$. So,

$$\varphi^*(x) = \frac{x^2}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad l(x) = \varphi'(x) = x = l^{-1}(x).$$

Thus, the conditions (4.16) and (4.17) take the form

$$N \geq \max \left\{ \left(\frac{A_0}{\delta} \right)^{1/H} + 1; 2 \left(\frac{A_0}{A_\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right\} \tag{4.20}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \nu \geq & 2 \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\delta N^H}{A_0} - 1 \right)^2 \right\} \\ & \times \left(\frac{(\delta A_\alpha)^{\frac{b}{\alpha}} (N+1)^{2Hb/\alpha}}{2^b \left(1 - \frac{b}{\alpha}\right) A_0^{\frac{2b}{\alpha}} N^{\frac{(H-\alpha)b}{\alpha}}} \left(\frac{\delta(N+1)^H}{A_0} - 1 \right) + 1 \right)^{\frac{2}{b}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

Let's take $\alpha = \frac{H}{2}$ and $b = \frac{H}{4}$.

In this case $A_0 = a_\varphi \sqrt{\frac{5c}{2H}}, A_\alpha = A_{\frac{H}{2}} = a_\varphi \pi^{\frac{H}{2}} 2^{1-\frac{H}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{2c}{H}}$ and from the inequality (4.20) we get

$$N \geq \max \left\{ \left(\frac{a_\varphi}{\delta} \sqrt{\frac{5c}{2H}} \right)^{1/H} + 1; \frac{2^{2-\frac{4}{H}} 5^{\frac{1}{H}}}{\pi} \right\}.$$

Since N is large we have in (4.21)

$$\left(\frac{(\delta A_\alpha)^{\frac{b}{\alpha}} (N+1)^{2Hb/\alpha}}{2^b \left(1 - \frac{b}{\alpha}\right) A_0^{\frac{2b}{\alpha}} N^{\frac{(H-\alpha)b}{\alpha}}} \left(\frac{\delta(N+1)^H}{A_0} - 1 \right) + 1 \right)^{\frac{2}{b}} \approx \mu N^{14}.$$

The claim follows. ■

REMARK 4.9 In Corollary 4.8 the condition (4.18) for N is in closed form. Condition (4.19) is still implicit, but it may be solved easily using numerical methods. Corollary 4.8 is readily applicable for the fractional Brownian motion. Indeed, in this case $a_{\varphi} = 1$.

EXAMPLE 4.10 Let

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x^p}{p}, & |x| > 1, p > 2; \\ \frac{x^2}{p}, & |x| \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

In this case we have:

$$\varphi^*(x) = \frac{x^2}{2}, \quad l(x) = \varphi'(x) = x, \quad l^{-1}(x) = x$$

for $x \in [0, 1]$ and

$$\varphi^*(x) = \frac{x^q}{q}, \quad \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1\right), \quad l(x) = \varphi'(x) = x^{p-1}, \quad l^{-1}(x) = x^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$$

for $x > 1$.

Then for $0 \leq \frac{\delta}{\gamma_0} - 1 \leq 1$ the condition (4.10) of Theorem 4.3 takes the form

$$2 \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\delta}{\gamma_0} - 1 \right)^2 \right\} \left(\frac{1}{2^b (1 - \frac{b}{\alpha})} \left(\frac{\gamma_{\alpha} \delta}{\beta \gamma_0} \right)^{\frac{b}{\alpha}} \left(\frac{\delta}{\gamma_0} - 1 \right) + 1 \right)^{\frac{2}{b}} \leq \nu$$

and for $\frac{\delta}{\gamma_0} - 1 > 1$ we have

$$2 \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{q} \left(\frac{\delta}{\gamma_0} - 1 \right)^q \right\} \left(\frac{1}{2^b (1 - \frac{b}{\alpha})} \left(\frac{\gamma_{\alpha} \delta}{\beta \gamma_0} \right)^{\frac{b}{\alpha}} \left(\frac{\delta}{\gamma_0} - 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + 1 \right)^{\frac{2}{b}} \leq \nu.$$

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank Kacha Dzhaparidze, Harry van Zanten, and Esko Valkeila for fruitful discussions. Sottinen was financed by the European Commission research training network DYNSTOCH. The authors were partially supported by the European Union project Tempus Tacis NP 22012-2001 and the NATO Grant PST.CLG.980408.

We also thank the referee for useful comments and for pointing out a serious mistake in the original manuscript.

References

- J. Beran, *Statistics for Long-Memory Processes*, Chapman and Hall: New York, 1994.
 V. V. Buldygin and Y. V. Kozachenko, *Metric Characterization of Random Variables and Random Processes*, American Mathematical Society, Providence: RI, 2000.

- L. Decreasefond and A. S. Üstünel, "Stochastic analysis of the fractional Brownian motion," *Potential analysis* vol. 10 (2) pp. 177–214, 1999.
- P. Doukhan, G. Oppenheim, and M. Taqqu (eds.), *Theory and Applications of Long-Range Dependence*, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc.: Boston, MA, 2003.
- K. O. Dzharidze and J. H. van Zanten, "A series expansion of fractional Brownian motion," *Probability Theory and Related Fields* vol. 130 pp. 39–55, 2004.
- P. Embrechts and M. Maejima, *Selfsimilar Processes*, Princeton University Press: Princeton, 2002.
- G. A. Hunt, "Random Fourier transforms," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* vol. 71 pp. 38–69, 1951.
- A. N. Kolmogorov, "Wiensche Spiralen und einige andere interessante Kurven in Hilbertschen Raum," *Comptes Rendus (Doklady) Acad. Sci. USSR (N.S.)* vol. 26 pp. 115–118, 1940.
- Y. V. Kozachenko and O. I. Vasilik, "On the distribution of suprema of $\text{Sub}_p(\Omega)$ random processes," *Theory of Stochastic Proc.* vol. 4 (20) pp. 1–2, 1998, pp. 147–160.
- M. A. Krasnoselskii and Y. B. Rutitskii, *Convex Functions in the Orlicz Spaces*, Fizmatiz: Moscow, 1958.
- B. Mandelbrot and J. Van Ness, "Fractional Brownian motions, fractional noises and applications," *SIAM Review* vol. 10 pp. 422–437, 1968.
- G. Samorodnitsky and M. Taqqu, *Stable Non-Gaussian random processes*, Chapman and Hall: New York, 1994.
- G. N. Watson, *A Treatise of the Theory of Bessel Functions*, Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, England, 1944.