## WHAT IS THE PRICE OF THE FUTURE? An Unfinished Opera in Five Acts

#### Tommi Sottinen

Reykjavík University and University of Helsinki

ICE-TCS Third Symposium on Theoretical Computer Science Friday, 10 August, 2007 In this talk I try to follow the EINSTEIN'S MAXIM:

Things should be made as simple as possible, but not simpler.

In this talk I try to follow the EINSTEIN'S MAXIM:

Things should be made as simple as possible, but not simpler.

This means that, as far as technical details are concerned, I will cheat!

 Consider a Toy Model: Today's stock price S<sub>0</sub> is 100 ISK. Tomorrow's stock price S<sub>1</sub> is 200 ISK with probability 90% and 90 ISK with probability 10%:

$$S_1 = 200$$
 w.p. 90%  
 $S_0 = 100$   
 $S_1 = 90$  w.p. 10%

Consider a Toy Model: Today's stock price S<sub>0</sub> is 100 ISK. Tomorrow's stock price S<sub>1</sub> is 200 ISK with probability 90% and 90 ISK with probability 10%:

$$S_1 = 200$$
 w.p. 90%  
 $S_0 = 100$   
 $S_1 = 90$  w.p. 10%

 Mr. K. offers a European Call-Option: We get a right to buy tomorrow the stock S with today's price 100 ISK: Formula for our profit is

$$f(S_1) = (S_1 - 100)^+,$$

where  $x^+ := \max(x, 0)$ .

## • How much should we be willing to pay for the option $f(S_1) = (S_1 - 100)$ ?

- How much should we be willing to pay for the option  $f(S_1) = (S_1 100)$ ?
- According to the EXPECTATION PRINCIPLE we should pay the expected pay-off:

$$(200 - 100)^+ \times 90\% + (90 - 100)^+ \times 10\% = 90.$$

- How much should we be willing to pay for the option  $f(S_1) = (S_1 100)$ ?
- According to the EXPECTATION PRINCIPLE we should pay the expected pay-off:

 $(200 - 100)^+ \times 90\% + (90 - 100)^+ \times 10\% = 90.$ 

But if we pay 90 ISK, Mr. K. can do a follows: He takes a bank loan of 10 ISK and buys one stock (he already got 90 ISK by selling the call-option). Now, if the stock price will go up, Mr. K. gives us the stock in return of 100 ISK. After paying his bank loan Mr. K. has made a profit of 90 ISK. If, on the other hand, the stock will go down we will not exercise our option. Now Mr. K. sells his stock in the market and after paying his bank loan he has made a profit of 80 ISK.



 Mr. K. received riskless profit with zero capital. This is called ARBITRAGE.

- Mr. K. received riskless profit with zero capital. This is called ARBITRAGE.
- By the law of SUPPLY AND DEMAND there should not be arbitrage in the market, for there is infinite demand for it. Thus the expectation price for  $f(S_1) = (S_1 100)^+$  must be too high.

- Mr. K. received riskless profit with zero capital. This is called ARBITRAGE.
- By the law of SUPPLY AND DEMAND there should not be arbitrage in the market, for there is infinite demand for it. Thus the expectation price for  $f(S_1) = (S_1 100)^+$  must be too high.
- By the way: The correct price in this case is 100/11 ISK. If the price is higher then Mr. K. can generate arbitrage; if the price is lower then we, the buyer, can generate arbitrage.

- Mr. K. received riskless profit with zero capital. This is called ARBITRAGE.
- By the law of SUPPLY AND DEMAND there should not be arbitrage in the market, for there is infinite demand for it. Thus the expectation price for  $f(S_1) = (S_1 100)^+$  must be too high.
- By the way: The correct price in this case is 100/11 ISK. If the price is higher then Mr. K. can generate arbitrage; if the price is lower then we, the buyer, can generate arbitrage.
- Actually, the arbitrage-free price is independent of the probabilities of stock going up or down. This should be clear from the fact that Mr. K.'s arbitrage strategy was independent of probabilities.



- 2 PRICING PRINCIPLES: REPLICATION AND NO-ARBITRAGE
- **3** Fundamental Theorems of Asset Pricing
- 4 Replication in the Black-Scholes Model
- 5 Why Quadratic Variation, or Brownian Motion



#### **1** Trading Strategies

- 2 PRICING PRINCIPLES: REPLICATION AND NO-ARBITRAGE
- **3** Fundamental Theorems of Asset Pricing
- 4 Replication in the Black-Scholes Model
- 5 Why Quadratic Variation, or Brownian Motion

There are two tradeable assets:

There are two tradeable assets:

DEFINITION (BOND AND STOCK)

There are two tradeable assets:

#### DEFINITION (BOND AND STOCK)

■ The BOND, or bank account, B = (B<sub>t</sub>)<sub>t∈[0,T]</sub> is the riskless asset. We assume that we live in the discounted world: B<sub>t</sub> = 1 for all t.

There are two tradeable assets:

#### Definition (Bond and Stock)

- The BOND, or bank account, B = (B<sub>t</sub>)<sub>t∈[0,T]</sub> is the riskless asset. We assume that we live in the discounted world: B<sub>t</sub> = 1 for all t.
- The STOCK  $S = (S_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$  is the risky asset. It is random: The prices  $S_t$  are not known before time t.

#### DEFINITION (TRADING STRATEGY)

**TRADING STRATEGY** is  $(\pi_t)_{t \in [0,T]} = (\beta_t, \gamma_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$ . Here  $\beta_t$  tells the amount of bonds and  $\gamma_t$  the amount of stocks the investor has in her portfolio at time t. The amounts  $\beta_t$  and  $\gamma_t$  can depend on the price process S up to time t, but not on the future prices of S.

#### DEFINITION (TRADING STRATEGY)

**TRADING STRATEGY** is  $(\pi_t)_{t \in [0,T]} = (\beta_t, \gamma_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$ . Here  $\beta_t$  tells the amount of bonds and  $\gamma_t$  the amount of stocks the investor has in her portfolio at time t. The amounts  $\beta_t$  and  $\gamma_t$  can depend on the price process S up to time t, but not on the future prices of S.

#### DEFINITION (VALUE OF A SELF-FINANCING STRATEGY)

The VALUE of a trading strategy  $\pi$  at time t is  $V_t(\pi) = \beta_t + \gamma_t S_t$ . Trading strategy is SELF-FINANCING if

$$\mathrm{d}V_t(\pi) = \gamma_t \mathrm{d}S_t. \tag{1}$$

#### REMARK (SELF-FINANCING AND BUDGET CONSTRAINTS)

In (1) the differentials are understood limits in the forward sense: dS<sub>t</sub> ≈ S<sub>t+Δ</sub> − S<sub>t</sub> when Δ > 0 is small.

#### Remark (Self-financing and Budget Constraints)

- In (1) the differentials are understood limits in the forward sense: dS<sub>t</sub> ≈ S<sub>t+Δ</sub> − S<sub>t</sub> when Δ > 0 is small.
- Equation (1) is actually a budget constraint. Indeed, consider it in discrete time points t < t + 1. Then says</p>

$$V_{t+1}(\pi) - V_t(\pi) = \gamma_t \left( S_{t+1} - S_t \right),$$

which is actually equivalent to

$$\beta_t + \gamma_t S_t = \beta_{t+1} + \gamma_{t+1} S_t.$$

This means that the value of the portfolio remain unchanged when the portfolio if rebalanced and all the changes in the value come from the changes of S.



#### 2 PRICING PRINCIPLES: REPLICATION AND NO-ARBITRAGE

- **3** Fundamental Theorems of Asset Pricing
- 4 Replication in the Black-Scholes Model
- 5 Why Quadratic Variation, or Brownian Motion

#### **DEFINITION** (OPTION)

An OPTION is simply a function of the underlying stock. We consider here only EUROPEAN VANILLA OPTIONS, i.e. options that are of the form  $f(S_T)$ , where  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is some function.

#### DEFINITION (OPTION)

An OPTION is simply a function of the underlying stock. We consider here only EUROPEAN VANILLA OPTIONS, i.e. options that are of the form  $f(S_T)$ , where  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is some function.

#### DEFINITION (REPLICATION PRINCIPLE)

The **REPLICATION PRICE** of  $f(S_T)$  is the initial capital  $V_0(\pi)$  needed to construct a self-financing trading strategy  $\pi$  for which

$$V_T(\pi)=f(S_T).$$

Here  $\pi$  is the REPLICATING PORTFOLIO.

REMARK (REPLICATION)

Suppose we can write

$$f(S_T) = c + \int_0^T \xi_t \, \mathrm{d}S_t. \tag{2}$$

**REMARK** (REPLICATION)

Suppose we can write

$$f(S_T) = c + \int_0^T \xi_t \,\mathrm{d}S_t. \tag{2}$$

Then *c* is the price of the option and the replicating portfolio  $\pi$  is determined by:  $\gamma_t = \xi_t$ ,  $\beta_0 + \gamma_0 S_0 = c$ , and  $\beta_t$  is determined from these and the fact that the portfolio is self-financing.

**REMARK** (REPLICATION)

Suppose we can write

$$f(S_T) = c + \int_0^T \xi_t \,\mathrm{d}S_t. \tag{2}$$

Then c is the price of the option and the replicating portfolio  $\pi$  is determined by:  $\gamma_t = \xi_t$ ,  $\beta_0 + \gamma_0 S_0 = c$ , and  $\beta_t$  is determined from these and the fact that the portfolio is self-financing.

The key question is: Can one construct replicating portfolios, i.e. representation of type (2) (in theory, in practise)?

The idea of No-Arbitrage Principle is to consider the option as a new asset and impose that there should be no arbitrage in the market.

The idea of No-Arbitrage Principle is to consider the option as a new asset and impose that there should be no arbitrage in the market.

**DEFINITION** (ARBITRAGE)

An ARBITRAGE is a trading strategy  $\pi$  with  $V_0(\pi) = 0$ ,  $V_t(\pi) \ge 0$  for all t and  $\mathbf{P}[V_T(\pi) > 0] > 0$ .

The idea of No-Arbitrage Principle is to consider the option as a new asset and impose that there should be no arbitrage in the market.

#### **DEFINITION** (ARBITRAGE)

An Arbitrage is a trading strategy  $\pi$  with  $V_0(\pi) = 0$ ,  $V_t(\pi) \ge 0$  for all t and  $\mathbf{P}[V_T(\pi) > 0] > 0$ .

Let  $P = (P_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$  be a price process for the option  $f(S_T)$ , i.e.  $P_t$  is the price of the option  $f(S_T)$  at time t. (Obviously  $P_T = f(S_T)$ , but it is  $P_0$  that we are interested in.)

**DEFINITION** (NO-ARBITRAGE PRINCIPLE)

 $P_0$  is an arbitrage-free price for  $f(S_T)$  if there is no such trading strategy  $\pi = (\beta, \gamma, \delta)$  satisfying the self-financing condition

$$\mathrm{d}V_t(\pi) = \gamma_t \mathrm{d}S_t + \delta_t \mathrm{d}P_t$$

that  $\pi$  is an arbitrage.

**DEFINITION** (NO-ARBITRAGE PRINCIPLE)

 $P_0$  is an arbitrage-free price for  $f(S_T)$  if there is no such trading strategy  $\pi = (\beta, \gamma, \delta)$  satisfying the self-financing condition

$$\mathrm{d}V_t(\pi) = \gamma_t \mathrm{d}S_t + \delta_t \mathrm{d}P_t$$

that  $\pi$  is an arbitrage.

#### **Remark** (Arbitrage Spread)

The problem with the no-arbitrage principle is that the price  $P_0$  is not unique and the spreads turn out to be unrealistically wide.



- 2 PRICING PRINCIPLES: REPLICATION AND NO-ARBITRAGE
- **3** Fundamental Theorems of Asset Pricing
- 4 Replication in the Black-Scholes Model
- 5 Why Quadratic Variation, or Brownian Motion

The fundamental theorems of asset pricing connect the economic concepts of completeness (all options can be replicated) and freedom of arbitrage to the probabilistic concept of equivalent martingale measure.
The fundamental theorems of asset pricing connect the economic concepts of completeness (all options can be replicated) and freedom of arbitrage to the probabilistic concept of equivalent martingale measure.

Let  $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$  be an INFORMATION FLOW. (In our setting  $\mathcal{F}_t$  is the information generated by the prices  $S_s$ ,  $s \leq t$ .)

The fundamental theorems of asset pricing connect the economic concepts of completeness (all options can be replicated) and freedom of arbitrage to the probabilistic concept of equivalent martingale measure.

Let  $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$  be an INFORMATION FLOW. (In our setting  $\mathcal{F}_t$  is the information generated by the prices  $S_s$ ,  $s \leq t$ .)

#### DEFINITION (MARTINGALE)

A process  $X = (X_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$  is a MARTINGALE if  $\mathbf{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_s] = X_s$  for all  $s \leq t$ .

### **Remark** (Efficient Market Hypothesis)

 Martingale property means that the best guess for the future (price) is the present (price).

### **Remark** (Efficient Market Hypothesis)

- Martingale property means that the best guess for the future (price) is the present (price).
- The EFFICIENT MARKET HYPOTHESIS says that the future changes in prices are totally unpredictable. This means that the price process must be, more or less, a martingale.

### **Remark** (Efficient Market Hypothesis)

- Martingale property means that the best guess for the future (price) is the present (price).
- The EFFICIENT MARKET HYPOTHESIS says that the future changes in prices are totally unpredictable. This means that the price process must be, more or less, a martingale.
- The argument for Efficient Market Hypothesis goes like this: So many speculators try to "beat the market" that all the (old) information is already incorporated in the prices. So, the new information must be independent of the past information.

### **Remark** (Efficient Market Hypothesis)

- Martingale property means that the best guess for the future (price) is the present (price).
- The EFFICIENT MARKET HYPOTHESIS says that the future changes in prices are totally unpredictable. This means that the price process must be, more or less, a martingale.
- The argument for Efficient Market Hypothesis goes like this: So many speculators try to "beat the market" that all the (old) information is already incorporated in the prices. So, the new information must be independent of the past information.
- This means the Efficient Market Hypothesis is a paradox: It is true if and only if the speculators do not believe in it!

Talking probabilities only through possibilities is formalized in the following definition.

Talking probabilities only through possibilities is formalized in the following definition.

### DEFINITION (EQUIVALENCE OF PROBABILITY MEASURES)

A probability measure  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$  is EQUIVALENT to the probability measure **P** if they have same zero-sets:  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}[A] = 0$  if and only if  $\mathbf{P}[A] = 0$ .

Talking probabilities only through possibilities is formalized in the following definition.

### DEFINITION (EQUIVALENCE OF PROBABILITY MEASURES)

A probability measure  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$  is EQUIVALENT to the probability measure **P** if they have same zero-sets:  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}[A] = 0$  if and only if  $\mathbf{P}[A] = 0$ .

Having  $\mathbf{P}[A] = 0$  does not make the event A impossible. So, the concept "possibility" has to be understood here in a vague sense.

### THEOREM (I FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM OF ASSET PRICING)

A market model  $(S, \mathbf{P})$  is free of arbitrage if and only if there exists  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$  equivalent to  $\mathbf{P}$  such that S is martingale with respect to  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$ .

### Theorem (I Fundamental Theorem of Asset Pricing)

A market model  $(S, \mathbf{P})$  is free of arbitrage if and only if there exists  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$  equivalent to  $\mathbf{P}$  such that S is martingale with respect to  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$ .

### THEOREM (II FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM OF ASSET PRICING)

An arbitrage-free market model  $(S, \mathbf{P})$  is complete (all options can be replicated) if and only if the equivalent martingale measure  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$  is unique.

### THEOREM (I FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM OF ASSET PRICING)

A market model  $(S, \mathbf{P})$  is free of arbitrage if and only if there exists  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$  equivalent to  $\mathbf{P}$  such that S is martingale with respect to  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$ .

### THEOREM (II FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM OF ASSET PRICING)

An arbitrage-free market model  $(S, \mathbf{P})$  is complete (all options can be replicated) if and only if the equivalent martingale measure  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$  is unique.

### REMARK (REPLICATION IN ARBITRAGE MODELS)

It is possible that an arbitrage model (no equivalent martingale measure  $\tilde{\textbf{P}})$  is complete.

### REMARK (ON THE FUNDAMENTAL THEOREMS)

Some implications in the fundamental theorems are easy to prove, others are notoriously difficult.

### REMARK (ON THE FUNDAMENTAL THEOREMS)

- Some implications in the fundamental theorems are easy to prove, others are notoriously difficult.
- Arbitrage-free price of an option  $f(S_T)$  is its expected value under an equivalent martingale measure:

$$P_0 = \tilde{\mathbf{\mathsf{E}}}[f(S_{\mathcal{T}})],$$

and more generally

$$P_t = \tilde{\mathbf{E}}[f(S_T)|\mathcal{F}_t].$$

This is why  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$  is also called the PRICING MEASURE,

## REMARK (ON THE FUNDAMENTAL THEOREMS)

- Some implications in the fundamental theorems are easy to prove, others are notoriously difficult.
- Arbitrage-free price of an option  $f(S_T)$  is its expected value under an equivalent martingale measure:

$$P_0 = \tilde{\mathbf{\mathsf{E}}}[f(S_T)],$$

and more generally

$$P_t = \tilde{\mathbf{\mathsf{E}}}[f(S_T)|\mathcal{F}_t].$$

This is why  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$  is also called the PRICING MEASURE,  $V(\pi)$  of a self-financing  $\pi$  is always a martingale under  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$ .



### **1** TRADING STRATEGIES

- 2 PRICING PRINCIPLES: REPLICATION AND NO-ARBITRAGE
- **3** Fundamental Theorems of Asset Pricing
- 4 Replication in the Black-Scholes Model
- 5 Why Quadratic Variation, or Brownian Motion

In the celebrated Black-Scholes model the "driving force" behind the stock-price process is the Brownian motion.

In the celebrated Black-Scholes model the "driving force" behind the stock-price process is the Brownian motion.

### DEFINITION (BROWNIAN MOTION)

The BROWNIAN MOTION, or Wiener process,  $W = (W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$  is a stochastic process characterized by the following three properties:

- **1** the paths  $t \mapsto W_t$  are CONTINUOUS,
- 2 the increments  $W_{t+\Delta} W_t$ ,  $t \ge 0$ , are STATIONARY, i.e. their probability laws are independent of t,
- **3** the increments  $W_{t_4} W_{t_3}$ ,  $W_{t_2} W_{t_1}$ ,  $t_1 < t_2 < t_3 < t_4$ , are INDEPENDENT.

**Remark** (Properties of Brownian Motion)

■ GAUSSIANITY:

$$\mathbf{P}[W_t \in B] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \int_B e^{-\frac{x^2}{2t}} \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

**Remark** (Properties of Brownian Motion)

■ GAUSSIANITY:

$$\mathbf{P}[W_t \in B] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \int_B e^{-\frac{x^2}{2t}} \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

■ MARTINGALE PROPERTY:

$$\mathbf{E}[W_t \mid W_u, u \leq s] = W_s, \quad s \leq t.$$

**Remark** (Properties of Brownian Motion)

■ GAUSSIANITY:

$$\mathbf{P}[W_t \in B] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \int_B e^{-\frac{x^2}{2t}} \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

MARTINGALE PROPERTY:

$$\mathbf{E}[W_t \mid W_u, u \leq s] = W_s, \quad s \leq t.$$

■ QUADRATIC VARIATION:

$$(\mathrm{d}W_t)^2 = \mathrm{d}t.$$

Because of the Quadratic variation property  $(dW_t)^2 = dt$  the paths for the Brownian motion are nowhere differentiable, and the calculus with respect to it follows different rules than the classical calculus.

Because of the Quadratic variation property  $(dW_t)^2 = dt$  the paths for the Brownian motion are nowhere differentiable, and the calculus with respect to it follows different rules than the classical calculus.

### THEOREM (ITÔ FORMULA)

Let 
$$f(t,x) \in C^{1,2}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})$$
. Then

$$\mathrm{d}f(t,W_t) = f_t(t,W_t)\mathrm{d}t + f_x(t,W_t)\mathrm{d}W_t + \frac{1}{2}f_{xx}(t,W_t)\mathrm{d}t.$$

Because of the Quadratic variation property  $(dW_t)^2 = dt$  the paths for the Brownian motion are nowhere differentiable, and the calculus with respect to it follows different rules than the classical calculus.

### THEOREM (ITÔ FORMULA)

Let 
$$f(t,x) \in C^{1,2}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})$$
. Then

$$\mathrm{d}f(t,W_t) = f_t(t,W_t)\mathrm{d}t + f_x(t,W_t)\mathrm{d}W_t + \frac{1}{2}f_{xx}(t,W_t)\mathrm{d}t.$$

The ltô formula follows from the second order Taylor formula (actually it IS the second order Taylor formula with  $(dW_t)^2 = dt$ ).

### DEFINITION (BLACK-SCHOLES MODEL)

In the **BLACK-SCHOLES MODEL** the dynamics of the stock price are given by the stochastic differential equation (SDE)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}S_t}{S_t} = \mu \mathrm{d}t + \sigma \mathrm{d}W_t. \tag{3}$$

DEFINITION (BLACK-SCHOLES MODEL)

In the **BLACK-SCHOLES MODEL** the dynamics of the stock price are given by the stochastic differential equation (SDE)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}S_t}{S_t} = \mu \mathrm{d}t + \sigma \mathrm{d}W_t. \tag{3}$$

The SDE (3) can be solved by using the Itô formula:

$$S_t = S_0 \exp\left\{\mu t + \sigma W_t - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}t\right\}.$$

### DEFINITION (BLACK-SCHOLES MODEL)

In the **BLACK-SCHOLES MODEL** the dynamics of the stock price are given by the stochastic differential equation (SDE)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}S_t}{S_t} = \mu \mathrm{d}t + \sigma \mathrm{d}W_t. \tag{3}$$

The SDE (3) can be solved by using the Itô formula:

$$S_t = S_0 \exp\left\{\mu t + \sigma W_t - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}t\right\}$$

From (3) we read that  $(\mathrm{d}S_t)^2 = \sigma^2 S_t^2 \mathrm{d}t$ . Thus,

$$\mathrm{d}f(t,S_t) = f_t(t,S_t)\mathrm{d}t + f_x(t,S_t)\mathrm{d}S_t + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}S_t^2f_{xx}(t,S_t)\mathrm{d}t.$$

# **REPLICATION IN THE BLACK-SCHOLES MODEL**

Let us calculate the price (and replicating portfolio) of an European vanilla option  $f(S_T)$  in the Black-Scholes model.

# **REPLICATION IN THE BLACK-SCHOLES MODEL**

Let us calculate the price (and replicating portfolio) of an European vanilla option  $f(S_T)$  in the Black-Scholes model.

**PDE** Approach

Ansatz: 
$$P_t = P(t, S_t)$$
 and  $\gamma_t = P_x(t, S_t)$ . Then  
 $f(S_T) = P_T = P(T, S_T)$ 

Let us calculate the price (and replicating portfolio) of an European vanilla option  $f(S_T)$  in the Black-Scholes model.

## **PDE** Approach

Ansatz: 
$$P_t = P(t, S_t)$$
 and  $\gamma_t = P_x(t, S_t)$ . Then  

$$f(S_T) = P_T = P(T, S_T)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{ltô}}{=} P(0, S_0) + \int_0^T P_t(t, S_t) \, \mathrm{d}t + \int_0^T P_x(t, S_t) \, \mathrm{d}S_t$$

$$+ \int_0^T \frac{\sigma^2}{2} S_t^2 P_{xx}(t, S_t) \, \mathrm{d}t$$

Let us calculate the price (and replicating portfolio) of an European vanilla option  $f(S_T)$  in the Black-Scholes model.

### **PDE** Approach

Ansatz: 
$$P_t = P(t, S_t)$$
 and  $\gamma_t = P_x(t, S_t)$ . Then  

$$f(S_T) = P_T = P(T, S_T)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Itô}}{=} P(0, S_0) + \int_0^T P_t(t, S_t) \, \mathrm{d}t + \int_0^T P_x(t, S_t) \, \mathrm{d}S_t$$

$$+ \int_0^T \frac{\sigma^2}{2} S_t^2 P_{xx}(t, S_t) \, \mathrm{d}t$$

$$= P(0, S_0) + \int_0^T P_x(t, S_t) \, \mathrm{d}S_t$$

$$+ \int_0^T \left\{ P_t(t, S_t) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} S_t^2 P_{xx}(t, S_t) \right\} \, \mathrm{d}t.$$

So, if P(t, x) solves the BLACK-SCHOLES BACKWARD PDE

$$P_t(t,x) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} x^2 P_{xx}(t,x) = 0,$$
  
 $P(T,x) = f(x),$ 

then  $P_t = P(t, S_t)$  and  $\gamma_t = P_x(t, S_t)$ . In particular, the price of the option  $f(S_T)$  is  $P(0, S_0)$ .

So, if P(t, x) solves the BLACK-SCHOLES BACKWARD PDE

$$P_t(t,x) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} x^2 P_{xx}(t,x) = 0,$$
  
 $P(T,x) = f(x),$ 

then  $P_t = P(t, S_t)$  and  $\gamma_t = P_x(t, S_t)$ . In particular, the price of the option  $f(S_T)$  is  $P(0, S_0)$ .

Since  $\gamma_t$  is the "spot derivative"  $P_x$  of the value function P, this replication, or hedge, is called the  $\Delta$ -hedge.

So, if P(t, x) solves the BLACK-SCHOLES BACKWARD PDE

$$P_t(t,x) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} x^2 P_{xx}(t,x) = 0,$$
  
$$P(T,x) = f(x),$$

then  $P_t = P(t, S_t)$  and  $\gamma_t = P_x(t, S_t)$ . In particular, the price of the option  $f(S_T)$  is  $P(0, S_0)$ .

- Since  $\gamma_t$  is the "spot derivative"  $P_x$  of the value function P, this replication, or hedge, is called the  $\Delta$ -hedge.
- The Black-Scholes Backward PDE can be solved numerically, but rarely analytically.

Martingale Approach

Under  ${\boldsymbol{\mathsf{P}}}$  we have

$$S_t = S_0 e^{\mu t + \sigma W_t - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}t},$$

where W is **P**-Brownian motion.
#### Martingale Approach

Under **P** we have

$$S_t = S_0 e^{\mu t + \sigma W_t - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}t},$$

where W is **P**-Brownian motion.

By GIRSANOV THEOREM the equivalent martingale measure  $\tilde{P}$  is unique and under  $\tilde{P}$  we have

$$S_t = S_0 e^{\sigma \tilde{W}_t - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}t},$$

where  $\tilde{W}$  is  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$ -Brownian motion ( $\tilde{W}_t = W_t + \frac{\mu}{\sigma}t$ ).

#### Martingale Approach

Under **P** we have

$$S_t = S_0 e^{\mu t + \sigma W_t - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}t},$$

where W is **P**-Brownian motion.

By GIRSANOV THEOREM the equivalent martingale measure  $\tilde{P}$  is unique and under  $\tilde{P}$  we have

$$S_t = S_0 e^{\sigma \tilde{W}_t - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}t},$$

where  $\tilde{W}$  is  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$ -Brownian motion ( $\tilde{W}_t = W_t + \frac{\mu}{\sigma}t$ ).

All value processes are martingales under  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$ . Thus,

$$P_t = \tilde{\mathbf{E}}[f(S_T) \,|\, \mathcal{F}_t].$$

#### Martingale Approach

Under **P** we have

$$S_t = S_0 e^{\mu t + \sigma W_t - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}t},$$

where W is **P**-Brownian motion.

By GIRSANOV THEOREM the equivalent martingale measure  $\tilde{P}$  is unique and under  $\tilde{P}$  we have

$$S_t = S_0 e^{\sigma \tilde{W}_t - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}t},$$

where  $\tilde{W}$  is  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$ -Brownian motion ( $\tilde{W}_t = W_t + \frac{\mu}{\sigma}t$ ).

All value processes are martingales under  $\tilde{\textbf{P}}.$  Thus,

$$P_t = \tilde{\mathbf{E}}[f(S_T) \,|\, \mathcal{F}_t].$$

Next we "calculate" this conditional expectation.





$$P_{t} = \tilde{\mathbf{E}}[f(S_{T}) | \mathcal{F}_{t}]$$
$$= \tilde{\mathbf{E}}\left[f\left(S_{t}\frac{S_{T}}{S_{t}}\right) | \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]$$
$$S_{t} \in \mathcal{F}_{t} = \tilde{\mathbf{E}}\left[f\left(x\frac{S_{T}}{S_{t}}\right) | \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]_{x=S_{t}}$$

$$P_{t} = \tilde{\mathbf{E}}[f(S_{T}) | \mathcal{F}_{t}]$$

$$= \tilde{\mathbf{E}}\left[f\left(S_{t}\frac{S_{T}}{S_{t}}\right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]$$

$$S_{t} \in \mathcal{F}_{t} = \tilde{\mathbf{E}}\left[f\left(x\frac{S_{T}}{S_{t}}\right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]_{x=S_{t}}$$

$$= \tilde{\mathbf{E}}\left[f\left(xe^{\sigma(\tilde{W}_{T}-\tilde{W}_{t})-\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}(T-t)}\right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]_{x=S_{t}}$$

$$P_{t} = \tilde{\mathbf{E}}[f(S_{T}) | \mathcal{F}_{t}]$$

$$= \tilde{\mathbf{E}}\left[f\left(S_{t}\frac{S_{T}}{S_{t}}\right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]$$

$$S_{t} \in \mathcal{F}_{t} = \tilde{\mathbf{E}}\left[f\left(x\frac{S_{T}}{S_{t}}\right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]_{x=S_{t}}$$

$$= \tilde{\mathbf{E}}\left[f\left(xe^{\sigma(\tilde{W}_{T}-\tilde{W}_{t})-\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}(T-t)}\right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]_{x=S_{t}}$$

$$S_{s,s} \leq t = e^{\frac{\tilde{W}_{s}-\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}s}{s},s \leq t} = \tilde{\mathbf{E}}\left[f\left(xe^{\sigma(\tilde{W}_{T}-\tilde{W}_{t})-\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}(T-t)}\right) \middle| \mathcal{W}_{s},s \leq t\right]_{x=S_{t}}$$

$$P_{t} = \tilde{\mathbf{E}}[f(S_{T}) | \mathcal{F}_{t}]$$

$$= \tilde{\mathbf{E}}\left[f\left(S_{t}\frac{S_{T}}{S_{t}}\right) | \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]$$

$$S_{t} \in \mathcal{F}_{t} = \tilde{\mathbf{E}}\left[f\left(x\frac{S_{T}}{S_{t}}\right) | \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]_{x=S_{t}}$$

$$= \tilde{\mathbf{E}}\left[f\left(xe^{\sigma(\tilde{W}_{T}-\tilde{W}_{t})-\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}(T-t)}\right) | \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]_{x=S_{t}}$$

$$S_{s,s} \leq t = e^{\tilde{W}_{s}-\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}s}, s \leq t = \tilde{\mathbf{E}}\left[f\left(xe^{\sigma(\tilde{W}_{T}-\tilde{W}_{t})-\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}(T-t)}\right) | W_{s}, s \leq t\right]_{x=S_{t}}$$

$$W_{T}-W_{t} \perp W_{s}, s \leq t = \tilde{\mathbf{E}}\left[f\left(xe^{\sigma(\tilde{W}_{T}-\tilde{W}_{t})-\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}(T-t)}\right) | W_{s}, s \leq t\right]_{x=S_{t}}$$

$$P_t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(S_t e^{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}y - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}(T-t)}\right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^2} \,\mathrm{d}y. \tag{4}$$

$$P_t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(S_t e^{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}y - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}(T-t)}\right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^2} \,\mathrm{d}y. \tag{4}$$

• (4) can be calculated numerically, but rarely analytically.

$$P_t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(S_t e^{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}y - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}(T-t)}\right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^2} \,\mathrm{d}y. \tag{4}$$

(4) can be calculated numerically, but rarely analytically.
 The connection between (4) and the Black-Scholes PDE is (a version) of the celebrated FEYNMAN-KAC FORMULA.

$$P_t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(S_t e^{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}y - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}(T-t)}\right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^2} \,\mathrm{d}y. \tag{4}$$

(4) can be calculated numerically, but rarely analytically.
 The connection between (4) and the Black-Scholes PDE is (a version) of the celebrated FEYNMAN-KAC FORMULA.

#### REMARK (QUADRATIC VARIATION DETERMINES THE PRICES)

The PDE Approach is valid whenever  $(dS_t)^2 = \sigma^2 S_t^2 dt$ . The Martingale Approach fails if the stock price is not driven by a Brownian motion (but because of the Feynman-Kac connection the result is true, nevertheless).



#### **1** TRADING STRATEGIES

- 2 PRICING PRINCIPLES: REPLICATION AND NO-ARBITRAGE
- **3** Fundamental Theorems of Asset Pricing
- 4 Replication in the Black-Scholes Model
- 5 Why Quadratic Variation, or Brownian Motion

■ In the Black-Scholes model it was assumed that the stock prices follow a GEOMETRIC BROWNIAN MOTION.

- In the Black-Scholes model it was assumed that the stock prices follow a GEOMETRIC BROWNIAN MOTION.
- This means the log-returns

$$\log \frac{S_t}{S_{t-1}} = \left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right) + \sigma \left(W_t - W_{t-1}\right)$$

are independent and Gaussian.

- In the Black-Scholes model it was assumed that the stock prices follow a GEOMETRIC BROWNIAN MOTION.
- This means the log-returns

$$\log \frac{S_t}{S_{t-1}} = \left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right) + \sigma \left(W_t - W_{t-1}\right)$$

are independent and Gaussian.

 Actual stock data does not support this assumption: There is overwhelming evidence the log-prices are neither independent nor Gaussian (never mind the Central Limit Theorem).

- In the Black-Scholes model it was assumed that the stock prices follow a GEOMETRIC BROWNIAN MOTION.
- This means the log-returns

$$\log \frac{S_t}{S_{t-1}} = \left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right) + \sigma \left(W_t - W_{t-1}\right)$$

are independent and Gaussian.

- Actual stock data does not support this assumption: There is overwhelming evidence the log-prices are neither independent nor Gaussian (never mind the Central Limit Theorem).
- Luckily the Brownian assumption was not needed in the PDE approach. What was essential was the existence of a non-trivial quadratic variation.

• Suppose the stock price process is continuous with  $(dS_t)^2 = 0$  (this happens if S is differentiable). Then we have classical change-of-variables formula

$$\mathrm{d}f(t,S_t)=f_t(t,S_t)\mathrm{d}t+f_x(t,S_t)\mathrm{d}S_t.$$

• Suppose the stock price process is continuous with  $(dS_t)^2 = 0$  (this happens if S is differentiable). Then we have classical change-of-variables formula

$$\mathrm{d}f(t,S_t)=f_t(t,S_t)\mathrm{d}t+f_x(t,S_t)\mathrm{d}S_t.$$

• Consider the option 
$$(S_T - S_0)^2$$
.

• Suppose the stock price process is continuous with  $(dS_t)^2 = 0$  (this happens if S is differentiable). Then we have classical change-of-variables formula

$$\mathrm{d}f(t,S_t)=f_t(t,S_t)\mathrm{d}t+f_x(t,S_t)\mathrm{d}S_t.$$

• Consider the option  $(S_T - S_0)^2$ . By the classical change-of-variables formula we have that

$$(S_T - S_0)^2 = \int_0^T 2(S_t - S_0) \, \mathrm{d}S_t.$$

## WHY QUADRATIC VARIATION, OR BROWNIAN MOTION

Suppose the stock price process is continuous with  $(dS_t)^2 = 0$  (this happens if S is differentiable). Then we have classical change-of-variables formula

$$\mathrm{d}f(t,S_t)=f_t(t,S_t)\mathrm{d}t+f_x(t,S_t)\mathrm{d}S_t.$$

• Consider the option  $(S_T - S_0)^2$ . By the classical change-of-variables formula we have that

$$(S_T - S_0)^2 = \int_0^T 2(S_t - S_0) \, \mathrm{d}S_t.$$

So, by the replication principle price the option  $(S_T - S_0)^2$  is zero. This certainly cannot be the case in practise!

## WHY QUADRATIC VARIATION, OR BROWNIAN MOTION

Suppose the stock price process is continuous with  $(dS_t)^2 = 0$  (this happens if S is differentiable). Then we have classical change-of-variables formula

$$\mathrm{d}f(t,S_t)=f_t(t,S_t)\mathrm{d}t+f_x(t,S_t)\mathrm{d}S_t.$$

• Consider the option  $(S_T - S_0)^2$ . By the classical change-of-variables formula we have that

$$(S_T - S_0)^2 = \int_0^T 2(S_t - S_0) \, \mathrm{d}S_t.$$

- So, by the replication principle price the option  $(S_T S_0)^2$  is zero. This certainly cannot be the case in practise!
- The conclusion is that STOCK PRICES MUST HAVE NON-TRIVIAL QUADRATIC VARIATION.



The story we have explained here is very modern (even when it comes to its mathematical foundations), and in many ways an unwritten opera.

#### FINALE

- The story we have explained here is very modern (even when it comes to its mathematical foundations), and in many ways an unwritten opera.
- One problem is that the stock-price is fixed: The investors' trading do not affect the prices. This is in conflict with the most fundamental axiom of economics: supply and demand. So, financial engineering needs feedback models.

#### FINALE

- The story we have explained here is very modern (even when it comes to its mathematical foundations), and in many ways an unwritten opera.
- One problem is that the stock-price is fixed: The investors' trading do not affect the prices. This is in conflict with the most fundamental axiom of economics: supply and demand. So, financial engineering needs feedback models.
- In "classical engineering" physics gives foundations to models. What do financial engineers have? E.g. psychology is hardly mathematically developed enough.

#### FINALE

- The story we have explained here is very modern (even when it comes to its mathematical foundations), and in many ways an unwritten opera.
- One problem is that the stock-price is fixed: The investors' trading do not affect the prices. This is in conflict with the most fundamental axiom of economics: supply and demand. So, financial engineering needs feedback models.
- In "classical engineering" physics gives foundations to models. What do financial engineers have? E.g. psychology is hardly mathematically developed enough.

- The curtain falls -

Any questions, my beloved audience?