

CONDITIONAL-MEAN HEDGING UNDER TRANSACTION COSTS IN GAUSSIAN MODELS

Tommi Sottinen

University of Vaasa

Workshop on Mathematical Finance, University of Barcelona,
29–30 March 2017

ABSTRACT

We consider **INVERTIBLE GAUSSIAN VOLTERRA PROCESSES** and derive a formula for their **PREDICTION LAWS**. Examples of such processes include the fractional Brownian motions and the mixed fractional Brownian motions.

As an application, we consider **CONDITIONAL-MEAN HEDGING UNDER TRANSACTION COSTS** in Black-Scholes type pricing models where the Brownian motion is replaced with a more general invertible Gaussian Volterra process.

OUTLINE

- 1 INVERTIBLE GAUSSIAN VOLTERRA PROCESSES AND THEIR PREDICTION
- 2 CONDITIONAL-MEAN HEDGING UNDER TRANSACTION COSTS FOR GEOMETRIC INVERTIBLE GAUSSIAN VOLTERRA MODELS
- 3 OPEN PROBLEMS

OUTLINE

- 1 INVERTIBLE GAUSSIAN VOLTERRA PROCESSES AND THEIR PREDICTION
- 2 CONDITIONAL-MEAN HEDGING UNDER TRANSACTION COSTS FOR GEOMETRIC INVERTIBLE GAUSSIAN VOLTERRA MODELS
- 3 OPEN PROBLEMS

INVERTIBLE GAUSSIAN VOLTERRA PROCESSES

DEFINITION (INVERTIBLE GAUSSIAN VOLTERRA PROCESS)

A centered continuous Gaussian process X on $[0, T]$ with $X_0 = 0$ is an **INVERTIBLE GAUSSIAN VOLTERRA PROCESS** if there exists a continuous Gaussian martingale M and kernels k and k^{-1} such that

$$\begin{aligned}X_t &= \int_0^t k(t, s) dM_s, \\M_t &= \int_0^t k^{-1}(t, s) dX_s.\end{aligned}$$

As an example, the **MIXED FRACTIONAL BROWNIAN MOTION**

$$X_t = aW_t + bB_t, \quad a, b \geq 0,$$

is an invertible Gaussian Volterra process.

INVERTIBLE GAUSSIAN VOLTERRA PROCESSES

Let $\xi = (\xi', \xi'')$ be a jointly Gaussian **THING**. The **THEOREM OF GAUSSIAN CORRELATION** states that the **CONDITIONAL THING** $\xi' | \xi''$ is a Gaussian **THING** with ξ'' -measurable mean and deterministic covariance.

For **INVERTIBLE GAUSSIAN VOLTERRA PROCESSES** this theorem can be made practical. The key observation is:

$$\mathcal{F}_u^X = \mathcal{F}_u^M =: \mathcal{F}_u.$$

Let $t \geq s \geq u$. Denote

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{X}_t(u) &= \mathbf{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_u], \\ \hat{r}(t, s | u) &= \mathbf{Cov}[X_t, X_s, | \mathcal{F}_u].\end{aligned}$$

INVERTIBLE GAUSSIAN VOLTERRA PROCESSES

Now, for the conditional mean, since $\mathcal{F}_u^X = \mathcal{F}_u^M$, we have that

$$\hat{X}_t(u) = \mathbf{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_u] = \mathbf{E} \left[\int_0^t k(t, s) dM_s \middle| \mathcal{F}_u^M \right].$$

Since Gaussian martingales have independent increments, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{X}_t(u) &= \mathbf{E} \left[\int_0^u k(t, s) dM_s + \int_u^t k(t, s) dM_s \middle| \mathcal{F}_u^M \right] \\ &= \int_0^u k(t, s) dM_s. \end{aligned}$$

In order to write $\hat{X}_t(u)$ as a linear transformation of the trajectory X_v , $v \in [0, u]$, we note the following **TRANSFER PRINCIPLE**.

INVERTIBLE GAUSSIAN VOLTERRA PROCESSES

THEOREM (TRANSFER PRINCIPLE)

$$\int_0^T g(t) dX_t = \int_0^T K_T^* g(t) dM_t,$$

where K_T^* is the operator defined by linearly extending the relation

$$K_T^* \mathbf{1}_{[0,t)}(s) = k(t, s).$$

If k is smooth enough and vanishes around the diagonal, then

$$K_T^* g(t) = \int_t^T g(s) k(ds, t).$$

INVERTIBLE GAUSSIAN VOLTERRA PROCESSES

By the (inverse) transfer principle we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{X}_t(u) &= \int_0^u k(t, s) dM_s \\ &= \int_0^u k(u, s) dM_s - \int_0^u [k(u, s) - k(t, s)] dM_s \\ &= X_u - \int_0^u (K_u^*)^{-1} [k(u, \cdot) - k(t, \cdot)](s) dX_s \\ &=: X_u - \int_0^u \Psi(t, s|u) dX_s.\end{aligned}$$

Note that if k^{-1} is smooth enough and vanishes around the diagonal, then

$$(K_T^*)^{-1} g(t) = \int_t^T g(s) k^{-1}(ds, t).$$

INVERTIBLE GAUSSIAN VOLTERRA PROCESSES

For the conditional covariance

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{r}(t, s|u) &= \mathbf{Cov}[X_t, X_s | \mathcal{F}_u^X] \\ &= \mathbf{E} \left[\left(X_t - \hat{X}_t(u) \right) \left(X_s - \hat{X}_s(u) \right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_u^X \right]\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}X_t - \hat{X}_t(u) &= \int_0^t k(t, v) dM_v - \int_0^u k(t, v) M_v \\ &= \int_u^t k(t, v) dM_v, \\ X_s - \hat{X}_s(u) &= \int_0^s k(s, w) dM_w - \int_0^u k(s, w) M_w \\ &= \int_u^s k(s, w) dM_w.\end{aligned}$$

INVERTIBLE GAUSSIAN VOLTERRA PROCESSES

Consequently, since M , being a Gaussian martingale, has independent increments, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{r}(t, s|u) &= \mathbf{E} \left[\int_u^t k(t, v) dM_v \int_u^s (s, w) dM_w \middle| \mathcal{F}_u^M \right] \\ &= \mathbf{E} \left[\int_u^t k(t, v) dM_v \int_u^s (s, w) dM_w \right]\end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$q(t) = \mathbf{Var}[M_t].$$

Note that q is also the **QUADRATIC VARIATION** of M .

Then, by the Itô isometry,

$$\hat{r}(t, s|u) = \int_u^{t \wedge s} k(t, v) k(s, v) dq(v).$$

INVERTIBLE GAUSSIAN VOLTERRA PROCESSES

Finally, we note that by the Itô isometry, we have

$$\begin{aligned} r(t, s) &:= \mathbf{Cov}[X_t X_s] \\ &= \mathbf{E} \left[\int_0^t k(t, v) dM_v \int_0^s k(s, w) dM_w \right] \\ &= \int_0^{t \wedge s} k(t, v) k(s, v) dq(v). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{r}(t, s|u) &= \int_u^{t \wedge s} k(t, v) k(s, v) dq(v) \\ &= \int_0^{t \wedge s} k(t, v) k(s, v) dq(v) - \int_0^u k(t, v) k(s, v) dq(v) \\ &= r(t, s) - \int_0^u k(t, v) k(s, v) dq(v). \end{aligned}$$

INVERTIBLE GAUSSIAN VOLTERRA PROCESSES

By the theorem of Gaussian correlation, we have the following:

THEOREM (PREDICTION)

The conditional process $X_t|\mathcal{F}_u$, $t \in [u, T]$ is Gaussian with mean and covariance given by

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{X}_t(u) &= X_u - \int_0^u \Psi(t, s|u) dX_s, \\ \hat{r}(t, s|u) &= r(t, s) - \int_0^u k(t, v)k(s, v) dq(v).\end{aligned}$$

In what follows, we shall use the following short-hand

$$\hat{r}(t|u) := \hat{r}(t, t|u).$$

INVERTIBLE GAUSSIAN VOLTERRA PROCESSES

Some final remarks on the invertible Gaussian Volterra processes:

- 1 If X is given by the covariance r , then the **VOLTERRA REPRESENTATION PROPERTY** means that there exist a measure q and a kernel k such that

$$r(t, s) = \int_0^{t \wedge s} k(t, v)k(s, v) dq(v).$$

- 2 The **INVERSE VOLTERRA REPRESENTATION PROPERTY** means that for all $t \in [0, T]$ there exists a function g_t such that

$$K_T^* g_t = \mathbf{1}_{[0, t]}.$$

- 3 It is possible that X is continuous, but M is not.

OUTLINE

- 1 INVERTIBLE GAUSSIAN VOLTERRA PROCESSES AND THEIR PREDICTION
- 2 CONDITIONAL-MEAN HEDGING UNDER TRANSACTION COSTS FOR GEOMETRIC INVERTIBLE GAUSSIAN VOLTERRA MODELS
- 3 OPEN PROBLEMS

HEDGING UNDER TRANSACTION COSTS

We consider (imperfect) hedging under transaction costs in a discounted market model, where the risky asset is given by

$$dS_t = S_t (\mu(t)dt + dX_t).$$

Let q be the quadratic variation of the fundamental martingale M associated with X via the kernel k . Then, the quadratic variation of X is (**UNDER SOME ASSUMPTIONS**)

$$\sigma^2(t) dt := k(t+, t) dq(t).$$

(We also assume that $\sigma^2(t) \not\equiv 0$, and note that that case is even simpler than the one studied here.)

We note that

$$\mathcal{F}_u := \mathcal{F}_u^S = \mathcal{F}_u^X = \mathcal{F}_u^M.$$

HEDGING UNDER TRANSACTION

Let $\pi = (\beta, \gamma)$ be a portfolio. Under continuous hedging without transaction costs its **SELF-FINANCING** value is

$$\begin{aligned}V_t &= \beta_t + \gamma_t S_t, \\V_t &= V_0 + \int_0^t \gamma_u dS_u.\end{aligned}$$

Suppose then that there are **PROPORTIONAL TRANSACTION COSTS** $\kappa \in [0, 1)$ and (consequently) the trading takes place at times t_i , $i = 0, \dots, n$. Let $\pi^n = (\beta^n, \gamma^n)$ be a portfolio that is rebalanced at times t_i . Then the **SELF-FINANCING** value of π^n is

$$\begin{aligned}V_t^{n,\kappa} &= \beta_t^n + \gamma_t^n S_t, \\V_t^{n,\kappa} &= V_0^{n,\kappa} + \int_0^t \gamma_u^n dS_u - \kappa \int_0^t S_u d|\gamma_u^n|.\end{aligned}$$

HEDGING UNDER TRANSACTION

Let the trading times t_i and the proportional transaction cost κ be fixed. Let $f(S_T)$ be a European vanilla-type option. We are interested in solving the following imperfect hedging or tracking problem.

DEFINITION (CONDITIONAL-MEAN HEDGING)

The strategy π^n is a **CONDITIONAL-MEAN HEDGE** of the option $f(S_T)$ if for all t_i

$$\mathbf{E}[V_{t_{i+1}}^{n,\kappa} | \mathcal{F}_{t_i}] = \mathbf{E}[V_{t_{i+1}} | \mathcal{F}_{t_i}],$$

where V is the value of the continuous-time perfect hedge without transaction costs.

HEDGING UNDER TRANSACTION

Let $\pi = (\beta, \gamma)$ be the replicating strategy of $f(S_T)$ without transaction costs. Then, by the **FÖLLMER–ITÔ FORMULA**

$$\gamma_t = \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(t, S_t),$$

where $g(t, S_t) = V_t$ comes from the backward PDE

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g}{\partial t}(t, x) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(t)x^2 \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x^2} &= 0, \\ g(T, x) &= f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, the derivation is the same as in the Black–Merton–Scholes case by using Föllmer integration, with the observation

$$(dS_t)^2 = S_t^2 \sigma^2(t) dt.$$

HEDGING UNDER TRANSACTION

Let us then find out the conditional-mean values.

We denote

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V}_{t_{i+1}}(t_i) &:= \mathbf{E} [V_{t_{i+1}} | \mathcal{F}_{t_i}], \\ \Delta \hat{V}_{t_{i+1}} &:= \hat{V}_{t_{i+1}}(t_i) - V_{t_i},\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V}_{t_{i+1}}^{n,\kappa}(t_i) &:= \mathbf{E} [V_{t_{i+1}}^{n,\kappa} | \mathcal{F}_{t_i}], \\ \Delta \hat{V}_{t_{i+1}}^{n,\kappa} &:= \hat{V}_{t_{i+1}}^{n,\kappa}(t_i) - V_{t_i}^{n,\kappa},\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{S}_{t_{i+1}}(t_i) &:= \mathbf{E} [S_{t_{i+1}} | \mathcal{F}_{t_i}], \\ \Delta \hat{S}_{t_{i+1}} &:= \hat{S}_{t_{i+1}}(t_i) - S_{t_i},\end{aligned}$$

We will show that all these objects can be calculated explicitly by using the prediction formula for $X_{t_{i+1}} | \mathcal{F}_{t_i}$.

HEDGING UNDER TRANSACTION

We note that if the initial portfolio $\pi_0^n = (\beta_0^n, \gamma_0^n)$ is fixed, then $V^{n,\kappa}$ can be recovered from the **CONDITIONAL-MEAN RECURSION**

$$\Delta \hat{V}_{t_{i+1}}^{n,\kappa}(t_i) = \Delta \hat{V}_{t_{i+1}}(t_i).$$

Let us then consider $\hat{V}_{t_{i+1}}(t_i)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{V}_{t_{i+1}}(t_i) &= \mathbf{E} [V_{t_{i+1}} | \mathcal{F}_{t_i}] \\ &= \mathbf{E} [g(t_{i+1}, S_{t_{i+1}}) | \mathcal{F}_{t_i}] \\ &= \mathbf{E} \left[g \left(t_{i+1}, S_0 e^{\int_0^{t_{i+1}} [\mu(v) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(v)] dv + X_{t_{i+1}}} \right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t_i}^X \right] \\ &= \mathbf{E} \left[g \left(t_{i+1}, S_0 e^{\int_0^{t_{i+1}} [\mu(v) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(v)] dv + (X_{t_{i+1}} | \mathcal{F}_{t_i}^X)} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

HEDGING UNDER TRANSACTION

By using the **GAUSSIAN PREDICTION FORMULA**, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V}_{t_{i+1}}(t_i) &= \mathbf{E} \left[g \left(t_{i+1}, S_0 e^{\int_0^{t_{i+1}} [\mu(v) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(v)] dv + (X_{t_{i+1}} | \mathcal{F}_{t_i}^X)} \right) \right] \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} g \left(t_{i+1}, S_0 e^{\int_0^{t_{i+1}} [\mu(v) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(v)] dv + z} \right) \\ &\quad \times \varphi(z; \hat{X}_{t_{i+1}}(t_i), \hat{r}(t_{i+1} | t_i)) dz,\end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi(\cdot; m, s^2)$ is the density of $N(m, s^2)$ distribution.

Therefore, both $\hat{V}_{t_{i+1}}(t_i)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \hat{V}_{t_{i+1}}(t_i) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} g \left(t_{i+1}, S_0 e^{\int_0^{t_{i+1}} [\mu(v) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(v)] dv + z} \right) \\ &\quad \times \varphi(z; \hat{X}_{t_{i+1}}(t_i), \hat{r}(t_{i+1} | t_i)) dz - g(t_i, S_{t_i})\end{aligned}$$

are explicit.

HEDGING UNDER TRANSACTION

Next, we note that

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{S}_{t_{i+1}}(t_i) &= \mathbf{E} [S_{t_{i+1}} | \mathcal{F}_{t_i}], \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} S_0 e^{\int_0^{t_{i+1}} [\mu(v) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(v)dv + z]} \varphi(z; \hat{X}_{t_{i+1}}, \hat{r}(t_{i+1}|t_i)) dz\end{aligned}$$

is explicit.

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \hat{S}_{t_{i+1}}(t_i) &= \hat{S}_{t_{i+1}}(t_i) - S_{t_i} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} S_0 e^{\int_0^{t_{i+1}} [\mu(v) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(v)dv + z]} \varphi(z; \hat{X}_{t_{i+1}}, \hat{r}(t_{i+1}|t_i)) dz - S_{t_i}\end{aligned}$$

is also explicit.

HEDGING UNDER TRANSACTION

Finally, we note that

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V}_{t_{i+1}}^{n,\kappa}(t_i) &= \mathbf{E} [V_{t_{i+1}}^{n,\kappa} | \mathcal{F}_{t_i}] \\ &= V_{t_i}^{n,\kappa} + \mathbf{E} \left[\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \gamma_u^n dS_u - \kappa \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} S_u |d\gamma_u^n| \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t_i} \right] \\ &= V_{t_i}^{n,\kappa} + \mathbf{E} \left[\gamma_{t_i}^n (S_{t_{i+1}} - S_{t_i}) - \kappa S_{t_i} |\gamma_{t_i}^n| \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t_i} \right] \\ &= V_{t_i}^{n,\kappa} + \mathbf{E} \left[\gamma_{t_i}^n S_{t_{i+1}} \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t_i} \right] - \mathbf{E} \left[\gamma_{t_i}^n S_{t_i} \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t_i} \right] - \mathbf{E} \left[\kappa S_{t_i} |\gamma_{t_i}^n| \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t_i} \right] \\ &= V_{t_i}^{n,\kappa} + \gamma_{t_i}^n \left(\hat{S}_{t_{i+1}}(t_i) - S_{t_i} \right) - \kappa S_{t_i} |\gamma_{t_i}^n|.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\Delta \hat{V}_{t_{i+1}}^{n,\kappa}(t_i) = \gamma_{t_i}^n \Delta \hat{S}_{t_{i+1}}(t_i) - \kappa S_{t_i} |\gamma_{t_i}^n|.$$

HEDGING UNDER TRANSACTION

We note that we have explicit (albeit horrible) formulas for all the quantities we need. Consequently, we have the following result:

THEOREM (CONDITIONAL-MEAN HEDGING)

Once the initial portfolio $\pi_0^n = (\beta_0^n, \gamma_0^n)$ is fixed, the conditional-mean hedging portfolio for a European vanilla-type option $f(S_T)$ can be calculated recursively from the explicit formulas for $\Delta \hat{V}_{t_{i+1}}(t_i)$ and $\Delta \hat{S}_{t_{i+1}}(t_i)$ by using the relations

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \hat{V}_{t_{i+1}}^{n,\kappa}(t_i) &= \Delta \hat{V}_{t_{i+1}}(t_i), \\ \Delta \hat{V}_{t_{i+1}}^{n,\kappa}(t_i) &= \gamma_{t_i}^n \Delta \hat{S}_{t_{i+1}}(t_i) - \kappa S_{t_i} |\gamma_{t_i}^n|.\end{aligned}$$

OUTLINE

- 1 INVERTIBLE GAUSSIAN VOLTERRA PROCESSES AND THEIR PREDICTION
- 2 CONDITIONAL-MEAN HEDGING UNDER TRANSACTION COSTS FOR GEOMETRIC INVERTIBLE GAUSSIAN VOLTERRA MODELS
- 3 OPEN PROBLEMS

OPEN PROBLEMS

- 1 Which Gaussian processes are invertible Gaussian Volterra processes? If the assumption of continuity is lifted, then my guess is that virtually all.
- 2 What is the natural initial condition for the conditional-mean hedge? $V_0 = V_0^{n,\kappa}$ or $V_0 = V_0^{n,\kappa} - \kappa S_0 |\gamma_0^n|$? Neither of these fixes the initial portfolio $\pi_0^n = (\beta_0^n, \gamma_0^n)$!
- 3 The conditional-mean hedging is natural from a tracking point of view. One can also consider the minimization problem

$$\mathbf{E} [(V_T^{n,\kappa} - V_T)^2] \rightarrow \min!$$

This can be “solved” by using the **PREDICTION FORMULA**. The “solution” is a complete mess. It would be nice to know what is the connection to the conditional-mean hedge.

THANK YOU FOR LISTENING!

Any questions?

Any solutions to the open problems?